

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ex
rel DAVID GOLDEN

Plaintiff,

v.

TROY X. KELLEY, et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. C18-6051BHS

ORDER

THIS MATTER is before the Court on Plaintiff David Golden’s “Motion to Vacate Judgment as per CR 60,” Dkt. 36, “Motion to Transfer Case Jurisdiction and Venue,” Dkt. 38, and “Motion to Vacate,” Dkt. 39, which is in fact a Reply to his own motion.

This matter was filed a as proposed *qui tam* case in December 2018. Dkt. 1. The United States Declined to Intervene, Dkt. 10, and asked this Court¹ to Dismiss the case,

¹ This case was reassigned to the undersigned following the Honorable Ronald B. Leighton’s retirement from the federal bench. Dkt. 37.

1 Dkt. 13. The Court granted the motion and dismissed the case without prejudice, Dkt. 16,
2 and entered a judgment, Dkt. 18.

3 Undeterred, Golden filed subsequent motions to amend the judgment, Dkt. 21, to
4 vacate the judgment, Dkt. 22, for sanctions, Dkt. 23, and a supplemental motion to
5 vacate, Dkt. 24. The Court denied the motions, Dkt. 25, and Golden appealed in July
6 2019, Dkt. 26. The Ninth Circuit affirmed the dismissal, finding that the “questions raised
7 in this appeal are so insubstantial as not to require further argument.” Dkt. 31. On May
8 22, 2020, it denied Golden’s Motion for Reconsideration. Dkt. 33. Golden’s Petition for a
9 writ of mandamus or prohibition before the Supreme Court was rejected. *In re Golden*,
10 ___ U.S. ___, 141 S. Ct. 464 (Mem) (2020).

11 Golden acknowledges that this case has long since been dismissed and closed and
12 that his appeals have been rejected, but explains that the Supreme Court erred, apparently
13 as part of a conspiracy against him:

14 The dismissal was appealed, but the U.S. Supreme Ct. refused to apply its
15 own binding case law, and dismissed the appeal on 10/5/20, thereby
16 denying Plaintiff restitution or even a hearing for contract fraud, so the
17 Defendants could corruptly launder more tax money. While on appeal,
18 Democrats and Republicans (ie., the Defendants) used the CIA and media
19 to launch a Counterintelligence operation against Plaintiff (the CIA *quid-*
20 *pro-quo* whistleblower impeachment hearings), which yielded no tangible
21 results.

22 Dkt. 36 at 5. He asks this Court to vacate its own orders and award him the relief he
sought in his initial complaint, which, according to his civil cover sheet, is
\$1,000,000,000.00. Dkt. 1-1.

1 Golden's claims were and are facially frivolous, and his motions to resurrect this
2 closed case are both untimely and unavailing. All are DENIED. This matter remains
3 closed, and the Clerk is directed not to accept any further filings in it, other than a notice
4 of appeal.

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6 Dated this 8th day of September, 2021.

7
8 

9

BENJAMIN H. SETTLE
United States District Judge

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22