Mickens et a	v. Inslee et al				
	Case 3:20-cv-05259-RJB-JRC Do	ocument 65	Filed 08/03/20	Page 1 of 3	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON				
9	AT	TACOMA			
10		I			
11	RORY L. MICKENS, et. al.,	CAS	SE NO. 20-5259 F	RЈВ	
12	Plaintiffs, v.		DER ADOPTING COMMENDATIC		
13	JAY INSLEE, et. al.,				
14	Defendants.				
15					
16	THIS MATTER comes before the Court on the Report and Recommendation of U.S.				
17	Magistrate Judge J. Richard Creatura. Dkt. 59. The Court has considered the Report and				
18	Recommendations, Objections to the Report and Recommendation, and the remaining file.				
19	On March 17, 2020, over 30 pro se prisoners filed this case, asserting various violations				
20	of their constitutional rights. Dkt. 1. On April 29, 2020, the Plaintiffs were ordered to show				
21	cause, if any they had, why the case should not be separated into individual cases. Dkt. 55. Only				
22	around 16 Plaintiffs responded to the order to show cause (along with two non-party prisoners				
23	who also signed the response). Dkt. 58.				
24					
	ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION - 1				
				Dockets.Ju:	

After considering the response (and failure to respond) to the order to show cause, the 2 instant Report and Recommendation was issued, recommending that all parties except the first 3 named Plaintiff, Rory Mickens, be dismissed without prejudice to refile their cases, if they choose. Dkt. 59. 4

5 The 16 or so Plaintiffs (and two non-party prisoners) who filed the response to the order 6 to show cause (Dkt. 58), filed objections (Dkt. 62) and re-filed their response to the order to 7 show cause (Dkt. 61). In their objections, they argue that the U.S. Magistrate Judge does not 8 have jurisdiction because the case has not been served, that the Washington State Bar Act 9 (forbidding the unauthorized practice of law) is unconstitutional, and Fed. R. Civ. P. 21 is "functionally unconstitutional." Dkt. 62. 10

The Report and Recommendation should be adopted and all but the first named Plaintiff in this case, Rory Mickens, should be dismissed without prejudice. The Plaintiffs' objections do not provide adequate grounds to fail to adopt the Report and Recommendation. They make no showing that the U.S. Magistrate Judge does not have jurisdiction because the case has not yet 14 15 been served. They fail to show that either the Washington State Bar Act or Fed. R. Civ. P. 21 is unconstitutional. To the extent they incorporate the arguments in their response to the order to 16 show cause (Dkt. 61) in their objections (Dkt. 62), these arguments are addressed in the Report 18 and Recommendation and are not sufficient grounds to reject the Report and Recommendation.

19 The Report and Recommendation should be adopted and the case re-referred to U.S. 20 Magistrate Judge J. Richard Creatura. All Plaintiffs, except Plaintiff Rory Mickens, should be dismissed from this case. All dismissed plaintiffs, if they choose to re-file their claims, should 21 22 proceed in separate cases, file new complaints which address only their individual claims, and 23 pay a new filing fee or file an application to proceed in forma pauperis. Plaintiff Mickens, if he

24

1

11

12

13

17

**ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION - 2** 

chooses to continue with the case, should file an amended complaint addressing only his
individual claims within thirty days of the date of this order.

3	IT IS ORDERED THAT:
4	• The Report and Recommendation (Dkt. 59) <b>IS ADOPTED</b> ;
5	• All parties, except the first named plaintiff (Mickens), ARE DISMISSED from
6	this matter WITHOUT PREJUDICE. All dismissed plaintiffs, if they choose to
7	re-file their claims, must proceed in separate cases, file new complaints which
8	address only their individual claims, and pay a new filing fee or file an application
9	to proceed in forma pauperis;
10	• If he chooses to continue with this case, Plaintiff Mickens MUST FILE an
11	amended complaint addressing only his individual claims within thirty days of the
12	date of this order; and
13	• This case is <b>RE-REFERRED</b> to U.S. Magistrate Judge J. Richard Creatura for
14	further proceedings.
15	The Clerk is directed to send uncertified copies of this Order to U.S. Magistrate Judge J.
16	Richard Creatura, all counsel of record and to any party appearing pro se at said party's last
17	known address.
18	Dated this 3 <sup>rd</sup> day of August, 2020.
19	Kahert Buyan
20	ROBERT J. BRYAN
21	United States District Judge
22	
23	

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION - 3