1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
9	AT TACOMA	
10	GEORGE BEAVER,	
11	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. 3:20-CV-5754-DWC
12	v.	ORDER ON MOTION FOR 406(B) ATTORNEY FEES
13	COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,	
14	Defendant.	
15		
16	Presently before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees Pursuant to 42	
17	U.S.C.§ 406(b). Dkt. 37. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 73 and	
18	Local Rule MJR 13, the parties have consented to have this matter heard by the undersigned	
19	Magistrate Judge. See Dkt. 2.	
20	Under 42 U.S.C. § 406(b), the Court may allow a reasonable fee for an attorney who	
21	represented a Social Security claimant before the Court and obtained a favorable judgment, as	
22	long as such fee is not in excess of 25% of the total past-due benefits. See Grisbrecht v.	
23	Barnhart, 535 U.S. 789 (2002). When a contingency agreement applies, the Court will look first	
24	to such agreement and will conduct an independent review to assure the reasonableness of the	

## Case 3:20-cv-05754-DWC Document 39 Filed 11/16/21 Page 2 of 2

fee requested, taking into consideration the character of the representation and results achieved.
 *See id.* at 807, 808. Although the fee agreement is the primary means for determining the fee, the
 Court may reduce the fee for substandard representation, delay by the attorney, or because a
 windfall would result from the requested fee. *See Crawford v. Astrue*, 586 F.3d 1142, 1151 (9th
 Cir. 2009) (citing *Grisbrecht*, 535 U.S. at 808).

6 Here, Plaintiff signed a contingency fee agreement agreeing to pay his attorney a fee 7 equal to 25% of the amount awarded for past-due benefits. See Dkt. 37-3. The representation was not substandard and the results achieved were excellent. See Dkt. 33; Dkt. 37-2; Grisbrecht, 535 8 9 U.S. at 808. This Court remanded this matter to the Administration for further proceedings and, following remand, Plaintiff was awarded benefits. See Dkt. 33, 37-2. There is no evidence of an 10 11 excessive delay by the attorney or that a windfall will result from the requested fee. Furthermore, 12 Plaintiff states the Motion is unopposed and the Commissioner has no objection to the award. Dkt. 37. 13

Plaintiff moves for attorney's fees in the total amount of \$ 6,475.38, which is 25% of
Plaintiff's total past-due benefits. *See* Dkt. 18, 18-3. Previously, Plaintiff was awarded an
attorney fee of \$ 6,043.39 under the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA"). Dkt. 36. Therefore,
Plaintiff is moving for a remaining attorney's fee award of \$ 431.99. After review of the relevant
record, the Court orders attorney's fees in the amount of \$ 431.99, minus any applicable
processing fees as allowed by statute, be awarded to Plaintiff's attorney, Elie Halpern, pursuant
to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b).

Dated this 16th day of November, 2021.

21

22

23

24

David W. Christel United States Magistrate Judge