

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
TACOMA DIVISION

MILTON FRANK REEVES,

Plaintiff,

vs.

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant.

Civil No. 3:20-CV-06069-TLF

ORDER FOR ATTORNEY FEES UNDER  
THE EQUAL ACCESS TO JUSTICE ACT  
(EAJA), 28 U.S.C. § 2412

For the reasons set forth in the parties' stipulated motion for attorney fees under the Equal Access to Justice Act (EAJA), 28 U.S.C. § 2412, *et seq.*, and good cause shown, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

Plaintiff is hereby awarded \$4,225.00 in attorney fees, \$17.25 in expenses (for postage), and \$400.00 in costs (for filing fees) under the EAJA. Under *Astrue v. Ratliff*, 130 S. Ct. 2521, 2528-29 (2010), EAJA fees awarded by this Court belong to the Plaintiff and are subject to offset under the Treasury Offset Program (31 U.S.C. § 3716(c)(3)(B) (2006)). Any EAJA fees should therefore be awarded to Plaintiff and not to Plaintiff's attorney. If, after receiving the Court's EAJA fee order, the Commissioner (1) determines that Plaintiff has assigned his right to EAJA

1 fees to his attorney; (2) determines that Plaintiff does not owe a debt that is subject to offset  
2 under the Treasury Offset Program, and (3) agrees to waive the requirements of the Anti-  
3 Assignment Act, then the EAJA fees will be made payable to Plaintiff's attorney. However, if  
4 there is a debt owed under the Treasury Offset Program, the Commissioner cannot agree to  
5 waive the requirements of the Anti-Assignment Act, and the remaining EAJA fees after offset  
6 will be paid by a check or electronic funds transfer made out to Plaintiff and delivered to  
7 Plaintiff's attorney, Maren Miller Bam, Esq., 8513 W. 9th Avenue, Kennewick, WA 99336.

8 DATED this 10th day of January 2022.

9  
10 

11 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE