	Honorable David G. Estudillo	
IN THE UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COLIRT	
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WA		
CHRISTOPHER LEWIS and CORRI LEWIS, a married couple,) Case No. 3:22-cv-05616-DGE	
Plaintiff,	 AMENDED STIPULATION REGARDING RULE 35 EXAMINATION BY DONNA WICHER, PHD 	
v. UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY, a Delaware corporation,		
Defendant.		
Defendant has requested a neuropsychol	logical examination, pursuant to Rule 35, of	
Plaintiff, Christopher Lewis, by neuropsycholog	rist Dr. Donna Wicher, relating to the mental	
injuries and conditions to his brain and psyche (inc	cluding, but not limited to, traumatic brain injury	
and post-traumatic stress disorder) alleged to have	re occurred in the October 2019 incident that is	
the subject of the above entitled action.		
For purposes of this Stipulation, the alleg	ged mental injuries and conditions to Plaintiff	
Christopher Lewis' brain and psyche (including, bu	ut not limited to, traumatic brain injury and post-	
traumatic stress disorder) (hereinafter referred to in this Stipulation as "neuropsychological		
injuries") are deemed to be "in controversy" (as that term is used in Rule 35) for purposes of this		
Rule 35 examination.		
///		

The parties, therefore, desire to enter into the following Stipulation and proceed with the Rule 35 neuropsychological examination of Plaintiff without the need for a motion for a Rule 35 examination and a hearing on such a motion. The parties stipulate to and agree to be bound by the following terms:

- 1. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 35, Plaintiff, Christopher Lewis, agrees to attend a neuropsychological examination scheduled for July 21, 2023 at 9:30 a.m., and to be examined by Donna C. Wicher, Ph.D. at said examination. This examination will occur at Medical Consultation Rentals, Hearon Family Dental Building, 1901 South Washington Street, Suite B, Tacoma, WA 98405.
- 2. The examiner may only conduct an examination in the field of expertise of that examiner, in this case in neuropsychology. Further, the examination will focus solely on the neuropsychological conditions which are in controversy in this action, as specified above and in Plaintiff's operative Complaint, discovery responses, deposition testimony, and medical records.
- 3. Defendant shall have no further right to a Rule 35 examination as to the conditions identified in this Stipulation unless ordered by the Court upon good cause shown, or presentation of new or additional injuries not previously identified by Plaintiff.
- 4. Dr. Wicher's Rule 35 neuropsychological examination will consist of a clinical interview, the administration of neuropsychological assessment measures, and the administration of the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory-3 (MMPI-3). The examination will begin with an in-depth clinical interview of Mr. Lewis, expected to last approximately ninety (90) minutes in duration, wherein she will cover topics, including, Mr. Lewis' medical and mental health history, life experience, as well as his educational, social, and work histories. Following the clinical interview, Dr. Wicher will administer scientifically validated and accepted neuropsychological assessment measures to Mr. Lewis, including testing on such areas as memory and concentration, attention, intellectual functioning, processing speed, executive functioning, perceptual reasoning, and visual organization. The administration of these neuropsychological

assessment measures is important to objectively evaluate Mr. Lewis' neuropsychological state and current level of functioning. However, it is impossible for Dr. Wicher to pre-determine which assessment measurements she will administer without the valuable information obtained during the interview portion of the exam. As part of her neuropsychological examination, Dr. Wicher will also administer the MMPI-3, which typically takes an examinee about 1-2 hours to complete, but sometimes can take quite a bit longer as it is largely dependent upon how long the individual examinee wants to think about each test item before answering it. Dr. Wicher will not ask Mr. Lewis any questions during the testing process, other than questions that are reasonable and necessary in order to properly administer the testing or to ensure plaintiff's comfort.

- 5. Plaintiff will not complete a patient information form, pain diagram, or sign any consent, HIPAA or otherwise, nor any release of information forms, as he is not a patient of the doctor performing the Rule 35 examination and is consenting to the examination solely pursuant to the requirements of Rule 35.
- 6. The total time for the Rule 35 neuropsychological examination is expected to be eight (8) hours, including reasonably timed breaks that Mr. Lewis or Dr. Wicher may need to take during the pendency of the examination. If any period of time exceeding 30 minutes goes by when Mr. Lewis is not being interviewed or administered testing, and the reason for delay was caused by Dr. Wicher and not by Mr. Lewis' own conduct (such as taking a longer than planned break), then he may call his attorney, who will then call the attorney for Defendant, in order to confer about the dispute to see if a resolution can be reached and the examination can continue. In the event the parties are unable to resolve the dispute and the examination is terminated by either party, then either party shall have the right to bring the issue in front of the Court for resolution. The prevailing party has the right to seek fees and costs from the Court.

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

- 7. Mr. Lewis will be permitted to take 15-minute breaks as needed. No breaks will be allowed during the timed MMPI-3 test. During each break, Dr. Wicher and any staff member will not engage Mr. Lewis in conversation.
- 8. No audio or video recording will be allowed to be taken of Dr. Wicher's Rule 35 examination of Plaintiff. Further, no third-party will be allowed to observe any portion of this examination. No persons other than Plaintiff Christopher Lewis and Dr. Wicher are allowed to be present during the evaluation, except for Dr. Wicher's test technician who will aid in the administration of neuropsychological assessment measures during the examination.
 - 9. No laboratory tests will be conducted as part of the examination.
 - 10. No new imaging will be conducted as part of the examination.
- 11. Plaintiff will not be billed for any portion of the examination. Additionally, if the examination has to be cancelled as a result of an emergency not allowing his presence, Plaintiff will not be charged a cancellation fee. In such an event, Plaintiff's counsel and Defendant's counsel shall work cooperatively to reschedule the examination.
- 12. If the Rule 35 examination includes photographs or video of the testing conducted of Plaintiff, Defendant agrees to furnish copies of all video images and photographs taken or obtained during the examination to Plaintiff's counsel no later than thirty (30) days following the examination. Further, if the Rule 35 examination includes any audio recordings of the any portion thereof, including interviews and/or testing, a copy of said audio recording will also be produced to Plaintiff's counsel by the same date.
- 13. Results of all tests, measurements, calculations, and the specific identified tests completed by Plaintiff during the examination shall be provided to Plaintiff's counsel through Dr. Wicher's written report, which will be produced no later than thirty (30) days following the examination.
 - 14. A written report shall be prepared by Dr. Wicher separately detailing:
- 26 a. The findings of the examiner;

1		b.	The results of all tests relied upon by the examiner;
2		c.	The diagnosis (-es), if any, made by the examiner;
3		d.	The findings upon which the diagnosis (-es) is (are) based;
4		e.	The conclusions and opinions reached by the examiner that will be testified to at trial, and the basis for each such opinion.
5	15.	Copie	es of the written report will be provided to Plaintiff's counsel not more than
6	thirty (30) days after each such examination. In addition, the following items will be provided at		
7	the same time:		
8		a.	The examiner's curriculum vitae;
9		b.	Like reports of all earlier examinations conducted by Dr. Wicher of Mr.
10			Lewis of the same condition, without prejudice to Mr. Lewis being able to demand any other reports consistent with Rule 35 and applicable case law
11			interpreting the same;
12		c.	A list of all the cases in which the examiner has testified in the past four (4) years, either in deposition or at trial, and whether for the defense or plaintiff;
13			and
1415		d.	Each and every billing, accounting, or invoice for payment prepared or sent by the examiner (or the business hiring the examiner) to the defense or any agent therefor or representative thereof, for any work associated with this
16			case or the examination, including preparation for the examination or preparation of the report.
17	16.	Dr. W	vicher agrees, upon request, to produce copies of the raw test data gathered by
18	her during her Rule 35 examination to any other licensed psychologist or neuropsychologist		
19	identified by Plaintiff. Further, the agreement memorialized in this paragraph is for a mutual		
20	exchange of expert raw data, meaning that Plaintiff also agrees through this Stipulation to produce		
21	to Dr. Wicher, upon request, copies of the raw test data gathered during any psychological or		
22	neuropsychological examination of Plaintiff conducted by a psychologist or neuropsychologist		
23	that Plaintiff has disclosed as a testifying expert witness in this action. The production of raw test		
24	data, as provided for by this paragraph, must be sent directly to the psychologist or		
25	neuropsychologist identified by the party and cannot be produced to the attorneys, the parties, or		
26	any other non-licensed psychologist or neuropsychologist.		

- 17. Dr. Wicher agrees, upon request, to a mutual exchange of the copies of the raw test data gathered by her during her Rule 35 examination with any other licensed psychologist or neuropsychologist who has examined, or is otherwise, treating Plaintiff Christopher Lewis. Any such exchange of raw test data must be sent directly to the psychologist or neuropsychologist and cannot be provided to the attorneys, the parties, or any other non-licensed psychologist or neuropsychologist.
- 18. Plaintiff shall have the right to depose the examining doctor following receipt of the report and other information.
- 19. The examining doctor and Plaintiff shall both be provided with a copy of these terms prior to the Rule 35 examination, and the parties agree that proceeding with the examination is an acknowledgement that the examining doctor and Plaintiff have agreed to adhere to all conditions set forth in this Stipulation.
- 20. A failure to abide by the terms of this stipulation shall allow for Plaintiff or Dr. Wicher to stop the examination and call the attorneys for both Plaintiff and Defendant in order to confer about the dispute to see if a resolution can be reached and the examination can continue. In the event the parties are unable to resolve the dispute and the examination is terminated by either party, then the non-terminating party has the right to challenge the decision with the court and seek payment of their associated costs for that termination.
- 24. Nothing in this stipulation is intended to impact the admissibility, or exclusion, of any or all of any examiner's report or testimony. Plaintiff and Defendant may make any legal arguments about (in)admissibility, or exclusion, as they deem fit in accordance with Court Rules and applicable law.
- 25. Each party shall have the right to petition the Court to compel any other party to comply with the terms of this stipulation or to address a failure to comply by any party, and the Court shall impose sanctions for a failure to so abide.

26 ///

med confidential such that it may only be used for
for purposes of this litigation is not in any way
in connection with such use. By way of example
deposition exhibit, or in open court to the extent
es are not meant to limit the use of the Rule 35
arty to this litigation is required to produce a copy
overy request, subpoena, or court order, that party
vance of production in order to allow that party to
protective order.
TO AND AGREE TO BE BOUND BY EACH
RMS.
_s/ Tab Wood Tab Wood
Of Attorneys for Defendant
s/C. N. Coby Cohen C. N. Coby Cohen Of Attorneys for Plaintiff
David G. Estudillo United States District Judge

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>
2	THE UNDERSIGNED certifies:
3	1. My name is Tab Wood. I am a citizen of MULTNOMAH County, state of Oregon,
4	over the age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to this action.
5	2. On July 18, 2023, I caused to be delivered via EMAIL AND FIRST-CLASS
6	MAIL, a copy of AMENDED STIPULATION REGARDING RULE 35 EXAMINATION BY
7	DONNA WICHER, PHD , to the interested parties of record, addressed as follows:
8	
9	Coby Cohen Rossi Vucinovich PC
10	1000 Second Avenue, Suite 1780
11	Seattle, WA 98104 425-646-8003
12	<u>ccohen@rvflegal.com</u> Attorney for Plaintiff
13	
14	I SWEAR UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY that the foregoing is true and correct to the
15	best of my knowledge, information, and belief.
16	
17	s/ Tab Wood
18	Tab Wood, Attorney
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	