

1  
2  
3  
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT TACOMA

5  
6 FRANK RICHMOND et al.,

7 Plaintiffs,

8 v.

9 HOME PARTNERS HOLDING LLC et al.,

10 Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:22-cv-05704-DGE

ORDER GRANTING IN PART  
AND DENYING IN PART  
MOTION REGARDING WORD  
LIMITS (DKT. NO. 102)

11 Before the Court is the parties' stipulated motion regarding word limits. (Dkt. No. 102.)

12 The Court GRANTS in part and DENIES in part the parties' motion. While an increased word  
13 limit may be warranted based on the parties' representations, the Court finds excessive the word  
14 limits proposed. The Court accordingly ORDERS that for Defendants' summary judgment  
15 motion, the motion and opposition briefs may not exceed 14,000 words and any reply in support  
16 of summary judgment may not exceed 7,000 words. For Plaintiffs' motion for class certification,  
17 Plaintiffs' motion and Defendants' opposition may not exceed 12,000 words and any reply in  
18 support of class certification may not exceed 6,000 words.

19 Dated this 6th day of February 2024.

20  
21 

22 David G. Estudillo  
United States District Judge