

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT TACOMA

JOHN BENTON RAGLAND,

Petitioner,

v.

JASON BENNETT,

Respondent.

Case No. C23-5574-MJP-MLP

ORDER DIRECTING RESPONDENT TO  
FILE A REPLY TO PETITIONER'S  
RESPONSE

This is a federal habeas action brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner submitted his federal habeas petition to the Court for filing on June 28, 2023. (Dkt. # 1.) The petition was thereafter ordered served on Respondent, and Respondent was directed to file an answer to the petition. (Dkt. # 6.) Respondent filed his answer to the petition on October 9, 2023. (Dkt. # 12.) He argues therein that Petitioner's petition is untimely under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d) and should be dismissed on that basis. (*Id.*) On January 8, 2024, Petitioner filed a response to Respondent's answer in which he argues that he is entitled to equitable tolling of the limitations period. (Dkt. # 16.)

Though Respondent presented in his answer to the petition arguments pertaining to equitable tolling arguments he anticipated Petitioner might make, Respondent has not addressed

ORDER DIRECTING RESPONDENT TO  
FILE A REPLY TO PETITIONER'S  
RESPONSE - 1

1 the specific equitable tolling arguments set forth by Petitioner in his response. (See dkt. # 12 at  
2 12-15.) Respondent had the opportunity to file a reply brief in support of his answer in which he  
3 might have presented arguments addressing Petitioner's equitable tolling arguments, but he  
4 elected not to do so. However, a reply brief from Respondent addressing Petitioner's equitable  
5 tolling arguments would aid the Court in its resolution of Petitioner's federal habeas petition.

6 Accordingly, the Court ORDERS as follows:

7 (1) Respondent shall file a reply brief addressing Petitioner's equitable tolling  
8 arguments not later than **March 1, 2024**. Respondent's answer (dkt. # 12) is RE-NOTED on the  
9 Court's calendar for consideration on that date.

10 (2) The Court also observes that page 19 of Petitioner's response to Respondent's  
11 answer is missing from the document received by the Court for filing on January 8, 2024. (See  
12 dkt. # 16.) This omission may be attributable to an error in scanning the document at Petitioner's  
13 facility or to Petitioner's failure to include the page in the document he submitted to prison staff  
14 for scanning. Regardless of the reason for the omission, Petitioner should be afforded an  
15 opportunity to correct it before the Court proceeds to resolution of this action. Petitioner is  
16 directed to either submit page 19 of his responsive brief to the Court for inclusion in his previous  
17 submission, or to resubmit the response in its entirety, not later than **March 1, 2024**, the date  
18 Respondent's reply brief is due.<sup>1</sup>

---

22 <sup>1</sup> Because it does not appear that the missing page contains any argument regarding equitable tolling,  
23 Petitioner need not make this correction before Respondent is tasked with responding to Petitioner's  
equitable tolling arguments.

1           (3)     The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to Petitioner, to counsel for  
2 Respondent, and to the Honorable Marsha J. Pechman.

3           DATED this 5th day of February, 2024.

4  
5 

6 MICHELLE L. PETERSON  
7 United States Magistrate Judge  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23