

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

7 WILLIAM MICHAEL NEVILLE,

8 Plaintiff,

Case No. C23-5801 RSM

9 v.

SCHEDULING ORDER

10 COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

11 Defendant.

12
13 The Court **ORDERS** the following briefing schedule:

14 15 Plaintiff's Opening Brief, limited to 18 pages or 6300 words whichever is less , is due:	December 14, 2023
16 17 Defendant's Response Brief, limited to 18 pages or 6300 words whichever is less , is due:	January 13, 2024, or within 30 days of the date the opening is filed, whichever is earlier.
18 19 Plaintiff's Optional Reply Brief, limited to 9 pages or 3150 words whichever is less , is due:	January 27, 2024, or within 14 days of the date the response is filed, whichever is earlier.

20
21 These dates and page limitations are firm and can be changed only by order of the Court,
22 not by agreement of counsel or the parties. Stipulations and motions to extend time or page
23 limitations must be noted on the Court's calendar prior to the due date pursuant to the briefing

1 schedules established in LCR 10(g) and LCR 7, respectively. The Court will consider the case
2 on written submissions unless otherwise ordered.

3 **BRIEFING REQUIREMENTS**

4 **Plaintiff's Opening Brief**

5 (a) Beginning on page one, Plaintiff must list the errors alleged, followed by a clear
6 statement of the relief requested. General statements such as "the ALJ's decision is not
7 supported by substantial evidence," are unacceptable. The Court will not consider or rule on
8 assignments of error that are not listed in this section of the opening brief.

9 (b) Plaintiff shall provide a brief summary of the relevant procedural history and present
10 the relevant facts in the argument section in the context of the specific errors alleged. Plaintiff
11 should not set forth a separate lengthy recitation of background facts or medical evidence.

12 (c) The opening brief must fully explain each issue raised on page one and cite to the
13 specific pages of the administrative record and the relevant legal authority that support each
14 argument and request for relief.

15 (d) The Court is familiar with the standard of review and the five step sequential
16 evaluation process. The parties should thus avoid boilerplate discussions of these standards and
17 should focus on applying relevant and controlling legal authority to the facts of this case.

18 **Defendant's Response Brief**

19 (a) Beginning on page one, Defendant shall indicate whether each error raised in the
20 opening brief is disputed or undisputed. Defendant need not address Plaintiff's procedural
21 summary unless there is disagreement. Subsequent sections of the response brief must respond
22 to each disputed assignment of error and request for relief, and must cite to the specific pages of
23 the administrative record and relevant legal authority.

