· v. vv	astington State Department of Corrections et al	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
7	TONY PENWELL,	
8	Plaintiff,	
9	v.	C24-5748 TSZ
10	WASHINGTON STATE	ORDER
11	DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, et al.,	
12	Defendants.	
13	THIS MATTER comes before the Court on a referral notice, docket no. 12, from	
14	the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, pursuant to 28 U.S.C.	
15	§ 1915(a)(3), for the limited purpose of determining whether Plaintiff's in forma pauperis	
16	status should continue for his appeal or whether the appeal is frivolous or taken in bad	
17	faith.	
18	An appeal is taken in "good faith" where it seeks review of at least one issue or	
19	claim that is found to be "non-frivolous." Hooker v. American Airlines, 302 F.3d 1091,	
20	1092 (9th Cir. 2002). An issue is "frivolous" where it "lacks an arguable basis either in	
21	law or in fact." Neitzke v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989).	
22		
23		
	ORDER - 1	Docke

The Court concludes Plaintiff's appeal is frivolous because it lacks an arguable basis in law. For the reasons articulated in the Report and Recommendation (docket no. 6), subsequently adopted by the Court (docket no. 8), Plaintiff's claims are either moot or fail to state a claim. Plaintiff's in forma pauperis status should NOT continue on appeal. IT IS SO ORDERED. The Clerk is DIRECTED to send a copy of this Order to all counsel of record, Plaintiff pro se, and the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. Dated this 22nd day of November, 2024. homas S Fille Thomas S. Zilly United States District Judge

ORDER - 2