IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA ELKINS

SHERYL JOY KIMBLE,

Plaintiff,

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:14-CV-11 (BAILEY)

CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On this day, the above-styled matter came before this Court for consideration of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge James E. Seibert [Doc. 3]. Pursuant to this Court's Local Rules, this action was referred to Magistrate Judge Seibert for submission of a proposed report and a recommendation ("R & R"). Magistrate Judge Seibert filed his R&R on February 7, 2014, wherein he recommends this Court deny the plaintiff's motion to proceed as a pauper and that she be required to pay the \$400 filing fee.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(c), this Court is required to make a *de novo* review of those portions of the magistrate judge's findings to which objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). In addition, failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of *de novo* review and the right to appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *Snyder v. Ridenour*, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); *United States v. Schronce*, 727 F.2d 91, 94 (4th Cir. 1984). Here, objections to Magistrate Judge Seibert's R&R were due within fourteen (14) days of receipt, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Fed.R.Civ.P. 72(b). The plaintiff is represented by counsel, so service was accepted upon filing on this Court's electronic filing system. No objections have been filed. Accordingly, this Court will review the R&R for clear error.

Upon careful review of the above, it is the opinion of this Court that the Report and Recommendation that Plaintiff's Motion to Proceed as a Pauper be Denied **[Doc. 3]** should be, and is, hereby **ORDERED ADOPTED** for the reasons more fully stated in the magistrate judge's report. Accordingly, the Application to Proceed as a Pauper **[Doc. 2]** is **DENIED**, and this Court **ORDERS** that the plaintiff pay the \$400 filing fee.

It is so ORDERED.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to any counsel of record. **DATED:** April 15, 2014.

TON BAILEY ED STATES DISTRICT JUD/GE