Clark v. Saad Doc. 12

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA ELKINS

KEITH CLARK,

Petitioner,

٧.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:15-CV-80 (BAILEY)

J. SAAD, Warden,

Respondent.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On this day, the above-styled matter came before this Court for consideration of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Michael John Aloi [Doc. 10]. Pursuant to this Court's Local Rules, this action was referred to Magistrate Judge Aloi for submission of a proposed report and a recommendation ("R&R"). Magistrate Judge Aloi filed his R&R on May 5, 2016, wherein he recommends this matter be denied and dismissed without prejudice to the petitioner's right to seek certification from the Third Circuit Court of Appeals to file a second or successive § 2255 motion.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(c), this Court is required to make a *de novo* review of those portions of the magistrate judge's findings to which objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). In addition, failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of *de novo* review and the right to appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1): *Snyder v.*

Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); *United States v. Schronce*, 727 F.2d 91,

94 (4th Cir. 1984). Here, objections to Magistrate Judge Aloi's R&R were due within

fourteen (14) days of receipt, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Fed.R.Civ.P. 72(b).

The docket reflects that service was accepted on May 9, 2016 [Doc. 11]. To date, no

objections have been filed, and the time within which to do has expired. Accordingly, this

Court will review the R&R for clear error.

Conclusion

Upon careful review of the above, it is the opinion of this Court that the **Report and**

Recommendation [Doc. 10] should be, and is, hereby ORDERED ADOPTED for the

reasons more fully stated in the magistrate judge's report. Accordingly, this Court

ORDERS that this matter be DENIED and DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE to the

petitioner's right to seek certification from the Third Circuit Court of Appeals to file a second

or successive § 2255 motion. This Court further **DIRECTS** the Clerk to enter judgment in

favor of the defendant and to STRIKE this case from the active docket of this Court.

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to any counsel of record and

to mail a copy to the *pro se* petitioner.

DATED: May 31, 2016.

IN PRESTON BAILEY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2