IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA MARTINSBURG

TAMMY M. BLAKE, a/k/a "Brooke," and TARA N. FELLERS, a/k/a "Alize," on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

٧.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:12-CV-2 (GROH)

TABOO GENTLEMAN'S CLUB, LLC and CASEY McGEE,

Defendants.

ORDER DENYING PARTIES' JOINT MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF DEADLINES

On this day, the above-styled matter came before the Court for consideration of the parties' Joint Motion for Extension of Time for Current Deadlines [Doc. 55], filed on October 8, 2012. In support of their motion, the parties assert that "[c]ounsels for the above-captioned parties have been engaged in good faith settlement discussions for the past 14 days," and "[t]he parties wish to concentrate their efforts on the settlement discussions rather [than] motion practice and meeting other deadlines, wish to avoid the Parties incurring additional attorney fees and costs, and wish to avoid complicating settlement discussions with fees and costs that, under the current deadlines, will continue to increase substantially each day" The parties accordingly seek the following: (1) the Plaintiffs request that they be granted an extension until October 19, 2012, to respond to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment [Doc. 39]; (2) the Plaintiffs request that they be granted an extension until October 24, 2012, to respond to Defendants' Motion to

Dismiss [Doc. 52]; (3) the Defendants request that they be granted an extension until

October 16, 2012, to file any objections to Magistrate Judge Seibert's Order Denying

Motion to Compel [Doc. 51]; and (4) the Defendants request that they be granted an

extension until October 19, 2012, to respond to Plaintiffs' Motion to Amend/Correct

Complaint [Doc. 48].

This Court has a strong interest in keeping scheduled dates certain. Changes in

dates and/or deadlines are disfavored. Therefore, any request, whether by application or

stipulation, to continue the date and/or deadline of any matter before this Court must be

supported by a sufficient basis that demonstrates good cause why the change in date is

essential. This Court does not consider the desire for prolonged settlement negotiations to

constitute such a basis. Therefore, the Court does not find good cause to grant the parties'

Agreed Motion for Extension of Time for Current Deadlines [Doc. 55], and the same is

accordingly **DENIED**.

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to all counsel of record and/or

pro se parties.

DATED: October 9, 2012.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2