Smith v. USA Doc. 11

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA
MARTINSBURG

JOHN PAUL SMITH,

Petitioner,

٧.

CRIMINAL ACTION NO.: 3:02-CR-64-7

**CIVIL ACTION NO.: 3:17-CV-115** 

(GROH)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation ("R&R") of United States Magistrate Judge Robert W. Trumble. Pursuant to this Court's Local Rules, this action was referred to Magistrate Judge Trumble for submission of a proposed R&R. Magistrate Judge Trumble issued his R&R [ECF No. 934]<sup>1</sup> on September 17, 2018. In his R&R, Magistrate Judge Trumble recommends that the Petitioner's Motion [ECF No.

920] be denied and dismissed with prejudice.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court must conduct a *de novo* review of the magistrate judge's findings where objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge to which no objection is made. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). Failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of *de novo* review and of a Petitioner's right to appeal this Court's Order. 28.U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Snyder v. Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91,

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All CM/ECF references are to the criminal number, 3:02-CR-64-7, unless otherwise noted.

94 (4th Cir. 1984).

Objections to Magistrate Judge Trumble's R&R were due within fourteen plus

three days of service. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). Service was

accepted by the pro se Petitioner on September 24, 2018. ECF No. 935. To date, no

objections have been filed. Accordingly, this Court will review the R&R for clear error.

Upon careful review of the R&R, it is the opinion of this Court that Magistrate Judge

Trumble's Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 934 in 3:02-CR-64-7, ECF No. 9 in

3:17-CV-115] should be, and is hereby, **ORDERED ADOPTED** for the reasons more fully

Therefore, the Petitioner's Motion to Vacate [ECF No. 920 in stated therein.

3:02-CR-64-7, ECF No. 1 in 3:17-CV-115] is **DENIED** and **DISMISSED WITH** 

PREJUDICE.

As a final matter, upon an independent review of the record, this Court hereby

**DENIES** Petitioner a Certificate of Appealability, finding that he has failed to make "a

substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2).

This matter is **ORDERED STRICKEN** from the Court's active docket. The Clerk

of Court is **DIRECTED** to mail a copy of this Order to the Petitioner by certified mail, return

receipt requested, at his last known address as reflected on the docket sheet.

**DATED:** October 16, 2018

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2