IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA Martinsburg

DARRIUS HEUSER-WHITAKER,

Petitioner.

٧.

Civil Action No. 3:22-CV-222

Judge Bailey

WARDEN BROWN,

Respondent.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

The above referenced case is before this Court upon the magistrate judge's recommendation that Respondent's Motion to Dismiss, or in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment [Doc. 9] be granted and that petitioner's petition [Doc. 1] be denied

and dismissed with prejudice.

This Court is charged with conducting a *de novo* review of any portion of the magistrate judge's report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). However, absent prompt objection by a dissatisfied party, it appears that Congress did not intend for the district court to review the factual and legal conclusions of the magistrate judge. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Additionally, any party who fails to file timely, written objections to the magistrate judge's report pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) waives the right to raise those objections at the appellate court level. *United*

1

States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 467 U.S. 1208 (1984). No

objections have been filed to the magistrate judge's report and recommendation.

A de novo review of the record indicates that the magistrate judge's report

accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. Accordingly, the magistrate

judge's report and recommendation [Doc. 17] is ADOPTED, and respondent's Motion to

Dismiss, or in the Alternative, for Summary Judgment [Doc. 9] is GRANTED. Petitioner's

Petition for Habeas Corpus Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 [Doc. 1] is DENIED and

DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.

This Court further **DIRECTS** the Clerk to **STRIKE** this case from the active docket

of this Court.

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to any counsel of record and

to mail a copy to the pro se petitioner.

DATED: April 28, 2023.

PRESTON BAILEY

INITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2