Griffin v. Rubenstein et al Doc. 31

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA AT BLUEFIELD

LOVELL GRIFFIN,

Plaintiff,

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:06-0327

JIM RUBENSTEIN, Commissioner, et al.,

Defendants.

## MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

By Standing Order, this action was referred to United States Magistrate Judge R. Clarke VanDervort for submission of findings and recommendations regarding disposition pursuant to 28 U.S.C.A. § 636(b)(1)(B). Magistrate Judge VanDervort submitted to the court his Findings and Recommendation on June 25, 2009, in which he recommended that the district court grant defendants' motions to dismiss, dismiss plaintiff's complaint, and remove this matter from the court's docket.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C.A. § 636(b), the parties were allotted ten days, plus three mailing days, in which to file any objections to Magistrate Judge VanDervort's Findings and Recommendation. The failure of any party to file such objections constitutes a waiver of such party's right to a denovo review by this court. Snyder v. Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363 (4th Cir. 1989). Neither party filed objections within the requisite time.

Having reviewed the Findings and Recommendation filed by
Magistrate Judge VanDervort, the court adopts the findings and
recommendations contained therein. Accordingly, the court hereby
GRANTS defendants' motions to dismiss, DISMISSES plaintiff's
complaint, and DIRECTS the Clerk to remove this case from the
court's active docket.

The Clerk is directed to forward a copy of this Memorandum Opinion and Order to plaintiff, pro se, and counsel of record.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 11th day of August, 2009.

ENTER:

David A. Faber

Senior United States District Judge

Daniel a. Daher