Harvey v. Berryhill Doc. 22

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA AT BLUEFIELD

SUE ELLEN HARVEY,

Plaintiff,

v.

CIVIL CASE NO. 1:15-13470

NANCY A. BERRYHILL, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

By Standing Order, this action was referred to United
States Magistrate Judge Dwane L. Tinsley for submission of
findings and recommendations regarding disposition, pursuant to
28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B). Magistrate Judge Tinsley submitted
his Proposed Findings and Recommendation ("PF&R") to the court
on February 28, 2017, in which he recommended that the district
court grant Plaintiff's Brief in Support of Judgment on the
Pleadings (Doc. No. 14) to the extent Plaintiff seeks remand
pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), deny
Defendant's Brief in Support of Defendant's Decision (Doc. No.
19), reverse the final decision of the Commissioner, and remand
this case for further proceedings pursuant to the fourth
sentence of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) and dismiss this matter from this
court's docket.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), the parties were allotted fourteen days, plus three mailing days, in which to file any objections to the Magistrate Judge's PF&R. The failure of any party to file such objections constitutes a waiver of such party's right to a de novo review by this court. See Snyder v. Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363 (4th Cir. 1989).

The parties failed to file any objections to the Magistrate Judge's PF&R within the seventeen-day period. Having reviewed the PF&R filed by Magistrate Judge Tinsley, the court adopts the findings and recommendation contained therein.

Accordingly, the court adopts the factual and legal analysis contained within the PF&R, GRANTS Plaintiff's Brief in Support of Judgment on the Pleadings (Doc. No. 14) to the extent Plaintiff seeks remand pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), DENIES Defendant's Brief in Support of Defendant's Decision (Doc. No. 19), REVERSES the final decision of the Commissioner, REMANDS this case for further proceedings pursuant to the fourth sentence of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), and DIRECTS the Clerk to dismiss this matter from the court's docket.

The Clerk is further directed to forward a copy of this Memorandum Opinion and Order to all counsel of record.

It is SO ORDERED this 20th day of March, 2017.

ENTER:

avid A Faber

Senior United States District Judge

Daniel a. Dahen