# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA AT BLUEFIELD 

## BLAKE SANDLAIN

Petitioner,
v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:20-00358
WARDEN C. MARUKA, FCI McDowell,

Respondent.

## MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

Pending before the court is Sandlain's motion pursuant to Rule $60(\mathrm{~b})(1)$ and $60(\mathrm{~b})(6)$. See ECF No. 60. For the reasons expressed by the Court in its recent decision in Jones v. Hendrix, 143 S. Ct. 1857, 2023 WL 4110233, at *5 (June 22, 2023), (§ $2255(e)$ "does not permit a prisoner asserting an intervening change in statutory interpretation to circumvent [the] restrictions on second or successive § 2255 motions by filing a § 2241 petition"), Sandlain's motion is DENIED.*

[^0]```
    The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Memorandum
Opinion and Order to counsel of record and unrepresented parties.
    IT IS SO ORDERED this 18th day of July, 2023.
                        ENTER:
                Samid Q. Dater 
David A. Faber
Senior United States District Judge
```


[^0]:    *The court has already denied a motion for reconsideration in this case. See ECF No. 45. That ruling was affirmed on appeal. See ECF Nos. 53 and 54. On January 9, 2023, the Clerk of the United States Supreme Court informed the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit that Sandlain's petition for a writ of certiorari had been dismissed. See ECF No. 61. That letter also stated that Sandlain "has repeatedly abused this Court's process," and directed the Clerk "not to accept any further petitions in noncriminal matters from petitioner unless the docketing fee required by Rule $38(a)$ is paid and the petition is submitted in compliance with Rule 33.1." Id.

