Dalton v. Rubenstein et al Doc. 9

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

CHARLESTON DIVISION

1STARR DALTON,

v.

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:10-cv-01297

JIM RUBENSTEIN, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

This action was referred to the Honorable Mary E. Stanley, United States Magistrate Judge, for submission to this court of proposed findings of fact and recommendation for disposition, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B). The Magistrate Judge has submitted findings of fact and has recommended that the court **DISMISS** the plaintiff's Complaint [Docket 2] without prejudice for failure to pay the filing fee. Neither party has filed objections to the Magistrate Judge's findings and recommendations.

The failure to object to a magistrate judge's report may be deemed a waiver of appeal of the substance of the report and the court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation. *See Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 200 (4th Cir. 1983). The court has reviewed the Magistrate Judge's findings of fact and recommendations and finds no clear error on the face of the record. Therefore, the court accepts and incorporates herein the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge and orders judgment consistent with

the findings and recommendations. The court **DISMISSES** the plaintiff's Complaint [Docket 2] without prejudice, and **DIRECTS** this action to be removed from the docket.

The court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to send a copy of this Order to counsel of record and any unrepresented party.

ENTER: May 23, 2011

Joseph R. Goodwin, Chief Judge