Eakle v. Ballard et al Doc. 8

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA AT CHARLESTON

DAVID EUGENE EAKLE,

v.

Plaintiff,

MR. DAVID BALLARD and MR. JIM RUBENSTEIN,

Defendants.

## MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

Civil Action No. 2:12-0590

This action was previously referred to Mary E.

Stanley, United States Magistrate Judge, who has submitted her

Proposed Findings and Recommendations ("PF&R") pursuant to the

provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B). The magistrate judge

recommends in her PF&R that the complaint be dismissed pursuant

to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1) and that the Application to Proceed

Without Prepayment of Fees and Costs be denied.

On March 13, 2012, the PF&R was filed. On March 20, 2012, plaintiff filed his objections. The objections do not address the deficiencies in the complaint identified by the magistrate judge. The objections are thus not meritorious.

Following a <u>de novo</u> review, and having concluded that the objections lack merit, it is ORDERED that the PF&R be, and

it hereby is, adopted and incorporated herein. It is further ORDERED that this action be, and it hereby is, dismissed pursuant to section 1915A(b)(1) and that the Application to Proceed Without Prepayment of Fees and Costs be denied.

The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this written opinion and order to counsel of record and plaintiff.

ENTER: May 11, 2012

John T. Copenhaver, Jr.

United States District Judge