Hall v. Rubenstein et al Doc. 4

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA AT CHARLESTON

Civil Action No. 2:15-13294

JAMES D. HALL, JR.,

Petitioner,

v.

JAMES RUBENSTEIN, DAVID BALLARD, CHERYL CHANDLER, JONATHAN FRAME, and JASON COLLINS

Respondents.

## MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

The court having received the proposed findings and recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge filed on July 15, 2016, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); and having reviewed the record in this proceeding; and there being no objections filed by either the petitioner or the respondents to the proposed findings and recommendation; and it appearing proper so to do, it is ORDERED that the findings and conclusions made in the proposed findings and recommendation of the magistrate judge be, and they hereby are, adopted by the court.

The court, accordingly, finds that the petitioner's

Petition for a Writ of Mandamus is legally frivolous and fails

to state a claim upon which relief can be granted inasmuch as a federal writ of mandamus will not lie against state officers such as the respondents. The court further finds that the petition is most due to the petitioner's release on parole. It is ORDERED that the Petition for a Writ of Mandamus be, and it hereby is, denied.

The Clerk is directed to forward copies of this order to the petitioner, any counsel of record, and the United States Magistrate Judge.

DATED: August 2, 2016

John T. Copenhaver, Jr.

United States District Judge