## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

## **CHARLESTON DIVISION**

JULIA ANNA WRIGHT,

v.

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:16-cv-02053

CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

## MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

Before the Court is Plaintiff Julia Anna Wright's Complaint seeking review of the decision of the Acting Commissioner of Social Security, Carolyn W. Colvin ("Commissioner"). (ECF No. 2.) By Standing Order entered January 4, 2016, and filed in this case on March 4, 2016, this action was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Cheryl A. Eifert for submission of proposed findings and a recommendation ("PF&R"). (ECF No. 4.) Magistrate Judge Eifert filed her PF&R on December 14, 2016, recommending that this Court reverse the final decision of the Commissioner, remand this matter pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), and dismiss this action from the Court's docket. (ECF No. 14.)

The Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). Failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of *de novo* review and the Petitioner's right to appeal this

Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Snyder v. Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir.

1989); United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91, 94 (4th Cir. 1984). In addition, this Court need

not conduct a *de novo* review when a party "makes general and conclusory objections that do not

direct the Court to a specific error in the magistrate's proposed findings and recommendations."

Orpiano v. Johnson, 687 F.2d 44, 47 (4th Cir. 1982). Objections to the PF&R were originally

due on January 3, 2017. To date, no objections have been filed.

Accordingly, the Court **GRANTS** Plaintiff's request for judgment on the pleadings, (ECF

No. 12), to the extent it seeks remand of the Commissioner's decision, **DENIES** Defendant's

request to affirm the decision of the Commissioner, (ECF No. 13), **REVERSES** the final decision

of the Commissioner, **REMANDS** this matter pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) for

further administrative proceedings consistent with the PF&R, (ECF No. 14), **DISMISSES** the

Complaint, (ECF No. 2), and **DIRECTS** the Clerk to remove this case from the Court's docket.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

The Court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to send a copy of this Order to counsel of record and any

unrepresented party.

ENTER:

January 5, 2017

ΓΗΌΜΑS Ε. JOHNSTON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE