Miller v. Brown et al Doc. 5

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

CHARLESTON DIVISION

ANDREW MILLER,

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:21-cv-00432

MIKE BROWN, et al.,

v.

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

On August 2, 2021, the Plaintiff, proceeding *pro se*, filed an *Application to Proceed Without Prepayment of Fees and Costs* (Document 1) and a *Complaint* (Document 2). By *Administrative Order* (Document 3) entered on August 3, 2021, the matter was referred to the Honorable Omar J. Aboulhosn, United States Magistrate Judge, for submission to this Court of proposed findings of fact and recommendation for disposition, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636.

On March 11, 2022, the Magistrate Judge submitted a *Proposed Findings and Recommendation* (Document 4) wherein it is recommended that this Court deny the Plaintiff's *Application to Proceed Without Prepayment of Fees and Costs* (Document 1), dismiss the Plaintiff's *Complaint* (Document 2) without prejudice pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), and remove this matter from the Court's docket. Objections to the Magistrate Judge's *Proposed Findings and Recommendation* were due by March 28, 2022.

Neither party has timely filed objections to the Magistrate Judge's Proposed Findings and

Recommendation. The Court is not required to review, under a de novo or any other standard, the

factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or

recommendation to which no objections are addressed. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985).

Failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of *de novo* review and a party's right to appeal

this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Snyder v. Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th

Cir. 1989); *United States v. Schronce*, 727 F.2d 91, 94 (4th Cir. 1984).

Accordingly, the Court ADOPTS and incorporates herein the findings and

recommendation of the Magistrate Judge as contained in the Proposed Findings and

Recommendation, and **ORDERS** that the Plaintiff's Application to Proceed Without Prepayment

of Fees and Costs (Document 1) be DENIED, the Plaintiff's Complaint (Document 2) be

DISMISSED without prejudice pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), and the matter be **REMOVED**

from the Court's docket.

The Court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to send a certified copy of this Order to Magistrate Judge

Aboulhosn, counsel of record, and any unrepresented party.

ENTER:

March 30, 2022

RENE C. BERGER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

2