IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

CHARLESTON DIVISION

JAIME LUEVANO,

Petitioner,

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:23-cv-00637

C.I.A. PODCAST IN D.C., et al.,

Respondents.

ORDER

Pending before the Court is Petitioner Jaime Luevano's ("Petitioner") Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus. (ECF No. 1.) Also pending is Petitioner's Application to Proceed Without Prepayment of Fees and Costs. (ECF No. 4.) By Standing Order entered in this case on September 27, 2023, this action was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Omar J. Aboulhosn for submission of proposed findings and a recommendation for disposition ("PF&R"). Magistrate Judge Aboulhosn filed his PF&R on October 30, 2023, recommending that this Court (1) deny Petitioner's application to proceed without prepayment of fees and (2) dismiss his petition without prejudice for improper venue. (ECF No. 6 at 7.)

This Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). Failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of *de novo* review. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *see also Snyder*

v. Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); *United States v. Schronce*, 727 F.2d 91, 94 (4th Cir. 1984). In addition, this Court need not conduct a *de novo* review when a party "makes general and conclusory objections that do not direct the Court to a specific error in the magistrate's proposed findings and recommendations." *Orpiano v. Johnson*, 687 F.2d 44, 47 (4th Cir. 1982).

Objections to the PF&R were due on November 6, 2023, and Petitioner filed timely objections on October 30, 2023.¹ (ECF No. 7.) However, these objections do not point the Court to any specific error in the PF&R. As such, Petitioner has waived his right to *de novo* review. The Court thus **OVERRULES** Petitioner's objections, (ECF No. 7), **ADOPTS** the PF&R, (ECF No. 6), **DENIES** Petitioner's Application to Proceed Without Prepayment of Fees and Costs, (ECF No. 4), and **DISMISSES** Petitioner Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, (ECF No. 1), **WITHOUT PREJUDICE**. The Court further **DIRECTS** the Clerk to remove this matter from the Court's active docket.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

The Court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to send a copy of this Order to counsel of record and any unrepresented party.

ENTER: Decemb

December 20, 2023

THOMAS E. JOHNSTON, CHIEF JUDGE

¹ Petitioner also filed additional objections on November 13, 2023. (ECF No. 8.) Because these additional objections are untimely, the Court declines to consider them.