IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

BECKLEY DIVISION

COREY EASTERLING,

v.

Petitioner,

CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:08-cv-00894

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,

Respondents.

ORDER

The Court has reviewed Petitioner's Application for Writ of Habeas Corpus [Docket 1], filed July 3, 2008. By Order [Docket 2] entered on July 3, 2008, this action was referred to the Honorable R. Clarke VanDervort, United States Magistrate Judge, for submission to this Court of proposed findings of fact and recommendation for disposition, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B). On June 23, 2011, the Magistrate Judge submitted Proposed Findings and Recommendation ("PF&R") [Docket 5] wherein it is recommended that this Court dismiss Petitioner's Petition as moot and remove this matter from the Court's docket.

The Court is not required to review, under a de novo or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). Failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of de novo review and the Petitioner's right to appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *see also Snyder v. Ridenour*, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir.1989); *United States v. Schronce*, 727 F.2d 91, 94 (4th Cir.1984). In addition, this Court need not conduct

a de novo review when a party "makes general and conclusory objections that do not direct the Court

to a specific error in the magistrate's proposed findings and recommendations." Orpiano v. Johnson,

687 F.2d 44, 47 (4th Cir.1982). Objections to the PF&R in this case were due on July 11, 2011. To

date, no party has filed any objections to the Magistrate Judge's Proposed Findings and

Recommendation. As noted in the PF&R, Petitioner appears to have been released from custody

on January 2, 2009. The PF&R was sent to his updated address on June 24, 2011.

Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** and incorporates herein the findings and recommendation

of the Magistrate Judge as contained in the Proposed Findings and Recommendation, and **ORDERS**

that Petitioner's Application for Writ of Habeas Corpus [Docket 1] be **DISMISSED**. The Court

further **ORDERS** that this matter be removed from its docket.

The Court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to send a copy of this Order to counsel of record and any

unrepresented party.

ENTER:

July 13, 2011

IRENE C. BERGER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

2