Boatswain v. Ziegler Doc. 23

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

BECKLEY DIVISION

FRANK BOATSWAIN,

Petitioner,

CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:13-cv-21468

JOEL ZIEGLER,

v.

Respondent.

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

The Court has reviewed the Petitioner's *Application Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 for Writ of Habeas Corpus By a Person in State or Federal Custody* (Document 2) filed on August 5, 2013.

By *Standing Order* (Document 3) entered on August 19, 2013, this action was referred to the Honorable R. Clarke VanDervort, United States Magistrate Judge, for submission to this Court of proposed findings of fact and recommendation for disposition, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636. Subsequently, by *Order* (Document 19) entered on January 6, 2016, the case was referred to the Honorable Omar J. Aboulhosn, United States Magistrate Judge, for submission of proposed findings of fact and recommendation for disposition.

On August 15, 2016, Magistrate Judge Aboulhosn submitted a *Proposed Findings and Recommendation* (Document 21) wherein it is recommended that this Court dismiss the

Petitioner's 2241 Application and remove this matter from the Court's docket. Objections to the

Magistrate Judge's *Proposed Findings and Recommendation* were due by September 1, 2016.¹

Neither party has timely filed objections to the Magistrate Judge's *Proposed Findings and*

Recommendation. The Court is not required to review, under a de novo or any other standard, the

factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or

recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985).

Failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of de novo review and the Petitioner's right to

appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Snyder v. Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363,

1366 (4th Cir. 1989); *United States v. Schronce*, 727 F.2d 91, 94 (4th Cir. 1984).

Accordingly, the Court ADOPTS and incorporates herein the findings and

recommendation of the Magistrate Judge as contained in the Proposed Findings and

Recommendation, and **ORDERS** that the Petitioner's Application Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 for Writ

of Habeas Corpus By a Person in State or Federal Custody (Document 2) be DISMISSED and

that this matter be **REMOVED** from the Court's docket.

The Court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to send a certified copy of this Order to Magistrate Judge

Aboulhosn, counsel of record, and any unrepresented party.

ENTER:

September 12, 2016

RENE C BE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

¹The docket reflects that the *Proposed Findings and Recommendation* mailed to the Petitioner was returned as undeliverable on September 2, 2016.

2