Holmburg v. Coakley Doc. 11

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

BECKLEY DIVISION

ROBERT D. HOLMBURG, JR.,

Petitioner,

CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:15-cv-05740

JOE COAKLEY,

v.

Respondent.

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

On May 6, 2015, the Petitioner, acting *pro se*, filed his Petition Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 for Writ of Habeas Corpus By a Person in State or Federal Custody (Document 1).

By *Standing Order* (Document 3) entered on May 6, 2015, this matter was referred to the Honorable R. Clarke VanDervort, United States Magistrate Judge, for submission to this Court of proposed findings of fact and recommendation for disposition, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636. Subsequently, by *Order* (Document 9) entered on January 6, 2016, the case was referred to the Honorable Omar J. Aboulhosn, United States Magistrate Judge, for submission of proposed findings of fact and recommendation for disposition.

On March 7, 2016, Magistrate Judge Aboulhosn submitted a *Proposed Findings and Recommendation* (Document 10) wherein it is recommended that this Court dismiss the Petitioner's 2241 Petition (Document 1) and remove this matter from the Court's docket.

Objections to the Magistrate Judge's *Proposed Findings and Recommendation* were due by March

24, 2016.

Neither party has timely filed objections to the Magistrate Judge's *Proposed Findings and*

Recommendation. The Court is not required to review, under a de novo or any other standard, the

factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or

recommendation to which no objections are addressed. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985).

Failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of de novo review and the Petitioner's right to

appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Snyder v. Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363,

1366 (4th Cir. 1989); *United States v. Schronce*, 727 F.2d 91, 94 (4th Cir. 1984).

Accordingly, the Court ADOPTS and incorporates herein the findings and

recommendation of the Magistrate Judge as contained in the Proposed Findings and

Recommendation, and ORDERS that the Petitioner's Section 2241 Petition (Document 1) be

DISMISSED and that this matter be **REMOVED** from the Court's docket.

The Court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to send a certified copy of this Order to Magistrate Judge

Aboulhosn, counsel of record, and any unrepresented party.

ENTER:

March 31, 2016

RENE C BERGER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

2