Lucas v. Ferguson Doc. 6

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA BECKLEY DIVISION

ANGEL MARIE LUCAS,

v.

Petitioner,

CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:15-cv-09061

JUDGE ALFRED FERGUSON, et al.,

Respondents.

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

On July 1, 2015, the Petitioner filed an Application to Proceed Without Prepayment of Fees or Costs (Document 1) and an Application Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 for Writ of *Habeas Corpus* by a Person in State or Federal Custody (Document 2). On July 10, 2015, the Petitioner filed a letter-form Motion for Voluntary Dismissal (Document 4).

By Standing Order (Document 3) entered on July 2, 2015, this action was referred to the Honorable R. Clarke VanDervort, United States Magistrate Judge, for submission to this Court of proposed findings of fact and recommendation for disposition, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636. On July 14, 2015, the Magistrate Judge submitted a *Proposed Findings and Recommendation* (Document 5) wherein it is recommended that this Court grant the Petitioner's letter-form Motion for Voluntary Dismissal, deny the Petitioner's Application to Proceed Without Prepayment of Fees or Costs, dismiss without prejudice the Petitioner's Application Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 for Writ of *Habeas Corpus* by a Person in State or Federal Custody, and remove this matter from the Court's docket. Objections to the Magistrate Judge's *Proposed Findings and Recommendation* were due by July 31, 2015.

Neither party has timely filed objections to the Magistrate Judge's Proposed Findings

and Recommendation. The Court is not required to review, under a de novo or any other

standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the

findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140,

150 (1985). Failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of de novo review and the

Petitioner's right to appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Snyder v.

Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91, 94 (4th

Cir. 1984).

Accordingly, the Court ADOPTS and incorporates herein the findings and

recommendation of the Magistrate Judge as contained in the Proposed Findings and

Recommendation, and ORDERS that the Petitioner's letter-form Motion for Voluntary

Dismissal (Document 4) be GRANTED, the Petitioner's Application to Proceed Without

Prepayment of Fees or Costs (Document 1) be **DENIED**, the Petitioner's Application Under 28

U.S.C. § 2241 for Writ of *Habeas Corpus* by a Person in State or Federal Custody (Document 2)

be **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**, and this matter be **REMOVED** from the Court's

docket.

The Court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to send a certified copy of this Order to Magistrate Judge

VanDervort, counsel of record, and any unrepresented party.

ENTER:

August 4, 2015

IRENE C. BERGER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

2