Campbell v. Coakley Doc. 11

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

## **BECKLEY DIVISION**

DAVID ATREYEL CAMPBELL,

Petitioner,

CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:16-cv-02058

JOE COAKLEY,

v.

Respondent.

## MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

On March 3, 2016, the Petitioner, acting *pro se*, filed his Application Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 for Writ of *Habeas Corpus* By a Person in State or Federal Custody (Document 1).

By Standing Order (Document 2) entered on March 4, 2016, this action was referred to the Honorable Omar J. Aboulhosn, United States Magistrate Judge, for submission to this Court of proposed findings of fact and recommendation for disposition, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636. On January 18, 2017, the Magistrate Judge submitted a *Proposed Findings and Recommendation* (Document 10) wherein it is recommended that this Court dismiss the Petitioner's Application Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 for Writ of *Habeas Corpus* By a Person in State or Federal Custody and remove this matter from the Court's docket. Objections to the Magistrate Judge's *Proposed Findings and Recommendation* were due by February 6, 2017.

Neither party has timely filed objections to the Magistrate Judge's *Proposed Findings and Recommendation*. The Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the

factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). Failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of *de novo* review and the Petitioner's right to appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *see also Snyder v. Ridenour*, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); *United States v. Schronce*, 727 F.2d 91, 94 (4th Cir. 1984).

Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** and incorporates herein the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge as contained in the *Proposed Findings and Recommendation*, and **ORDERS** that the Petitioner's Application Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 for Writ of *Habeas Corpus* By a Person in State or Federal Custody (Document 1) be **DISMISSED** and this matter be **REMOVED** from the Court's docket.

The Court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to send a certified copy of this Order to Magistrate Judge Aboulhosn, counsel of record, and any unrepresented party.

ENTER: February 13, 2017

IRENE C. BERGER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA