## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

## AT BECKLEY

TAVARES K. MIDDLEBROOKS,

Petitioner,

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:17-cv-04143

D.L. YOUNG, Warden, FCI Beckley,

Respondent.

## **ORDER**

Pending is Petitioner Tavares Middlebrooks' Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 [Doc. 1], filed October 10, 2017.

This action was previously referred to the Honorable Dwane L. Tinsley, United States Magistrate Judge, for submission of proposed findings and a recommendation ("PF&R"). Magistrate Judge Tinsley filed his PF&R on May 29, 2020. Magistrate Judge Tinsley recommended that the Court dismiss Mr. Middlebrooks' petition.

The Court need not review, under a de novo or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *see also* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) ("A judge of the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations *to which objection is made.*") (emphasis added). Failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of de novo review and the Petitioner's right to appeal the Court's order. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *see also United States v. De Leon-Ramirez*, 925 F.3d 177, 181 (4th Cir. 2019) (parties may not typically "appeal a magistrate judge's

Doc. 14

findings that were not objected to below, as § 636(b) doesn't require de novo review absent

objection."); Snyder v. Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989). Further, the Court need not

conduct de novo review when a party "makes general and conclusory objections that do not direct

the Court to a specific error in the magistrate's proposed findings and recommendations." *Orpiano* 

v. Johnson, 687 F.2d 44, 47 (4th Cir. 1982). Objections in this case were due on June 15, 2020.

No objections were filed.

Accordingly, the Court ADOPTS the PF&R [Doc. 13], DISMISSES Mr.

Middlebrooks' Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus [Doc. 1], and DIRECTS the Clerk to remove

the matter from the Court's docket.

The Court directs the Clerk to transmit a copy of this Order to any counsel of record

and any unrepresented party.

**ENTERED: July 14, 2020** 

