

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

HECTOR RODRIGUEZ,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 14-C-1034

CAROLYN W. COLVIN,
Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

ORDER

Plaintiff in the above matter has filed a “MOTION IN FORMA PAUPERIS”. Presumably, what is intended is a motion to proceed on appeal *in forma pauperis*. The motion states, however, “Plaintiff, William Greisbach, by his attorney, Dana W. Duncan, DUNCAN DISABILITY LAW, SC submits notice that he is seeking the waiver of the filing fee herein by motion *in forma pauperis*.” The plaintiff is Hector Rodriguez, not William Greisbach (sic). The Judge, of course, is William Griesbach, but his is not represented by Attorney Dana Duncan or Duncan Disability Law. Furthermore, to support a motion to proceed *in forma pauperis*, an affidavit of indigency by the movant is required. It is not enough simply to allege in the motion that the client’s financial circumstances have not changed. In light of the foregoing, the “MOTION IN FORMA PAUPERIS” is DENIED, but the denial is without prejudice and plaintiff is free to file a proper motion to proceed on appeal *in forma pauperis*, supported by an affidavit or declaration indicating his current economic circumstances.

SO ORDERED this 22nd day of October, 2015.

s/ William C. Griesbach
William C. Griesbach, Chief Judge
United States District Court