

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

THE STANDARD FIRE INSURANCE CO.
a/s/o Julie Newcomb,

Plaintiff,

v.

ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,

Defendant.

SPECIAL VERDICT

08-cv-540-slc

We, the jury, for our special verdict, do find as follows

Question No. 1: When the subject dryer left the possession of Electrolux Home Products was the dryer in a defective condition so as to be unreasonably dangerous to a prospective user?

Answer: _____

(“Yes” or “No”)

If you answered Question No. 1 “Yes,” then answer Question 2. If you answered Question No. 1 “No,” then do not answer Question No. 2, but go straight to Question No. 3.

Question No. 2: Was the defective condition a cause of the fire in Julie Newcomb’s home on January 29, 2007?

Answer: _____

(“Yes” or “No”)

Question No. 3: Was Electrolux Home Products, Inc. negligent with regard to the design, assembly, manufacture, distribution or introduction into the market of the dryer?

Answer: _____
(“Yes” or “No”)

If you answered Question No. 3 “Yes,” then answer Question 4. If you answered Question No. 3 “No,” then do not answer Question No. 4, but go straight to Question No. 5.

Question No. 4: Was Electrolux Home Products, Inc.’s negligence a cause of the fire in Julie Newcomb’s home on January 29, 2007?

Answer: _____
(“Yes” or “No”)

If you answered Question No. 1 “No” *and* you answered Question 3 “No,” then you do not need to answer any more questions and you should go to the end and sign the verdict form. If you answered *either* Question No. 1 *or* Question No. 3 “Yes,” then you must answer Question No. 5.

Question No. 5: Was Julie Newcomb negligent with respect to the installation, operation or maintenance of the clothes dryer?

Answer: _____
(“Yes” or “No”)

If you answered Question No. 5 “Yes,” then answer Question 6. If you answered Question No. 5 “No,” then do not answer Question No. 6.

Question No. 6: Was Julie Newcomb’s negligence a cause of plaintiff’s damages?

Answer: _____
 (“Yes” or “No”)

If you answered Question No. 6 “No,” then you do not need to answer the last question and you should go to the end and sign the verdict. If you answered Question No. 6 “Yes,” then you must answer Question No. 7.

Question No. 7: Assuming that the combination of Julie Newcomb’s negligence, and the defective condition of the dryer, if any, or Electrolux Home Product’s negligence, if any, caused 100% of plaintiff’s damages, what percentage of fault do you attribute to:

(a) Defendant: _____%

(b) Julie Newcomb: _____%

TOTAL: 100%

Presiding Juror

Madison, Wisconsin

Dated this _____ day of February, 2010