

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 2023:

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE Olli Talvitie 324-010521-US(PAR) 09/939,313 08/24/2001 11/06/2002 PERMAN & GREEN 425 POST ROAD FAIRFIELD, CT 06824 EXAMINER CLINGER, JAMES C PAPER NUMBER 2821 DATE MAILED: 11/06/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		09/939,313	Applicant(s)	Talvitie e	t al.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner Jim Clinger		Art Unit 2821			
,	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
A SHI THE M • Extens mailing • If the p • If NO p • Failure • Any re	OF REPLY IS SETATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SETAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138 (a). It date of this communication. Ieriod for reply aspecified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within reriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause ply received by the Office letter than three months after the mailing date of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704 (b).	n no event, however, may a rep the statutory minimum of thirty r and will expire SIX (8) MONTH the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed (30) days will b S from the maili NDONED (35 U.S	efter SIX (8) MONTH considered timely, ng date of this commu S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)[X	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 3, 2	002			·		
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) \square This ac	ction is non-final.					
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $\textit{Ex p}$				e merits is		
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u>		is/ard	e pending in the	e application.		
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is/a	e withdrawn f	rom consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed			
6) 🔯	Claim(s) 1-8			is/are rejected			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)						
8) 🗀							
Applica	ition Papers						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)🔯	The drawing(s) filed on Aug 24, 2001 is/a	re a) 🔯 accepted or	b) 🗌 object	ed to by the Ex	caminer.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	ie: al	annroved				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply		арргочес	b)∐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.		
12)	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	y to this Office action.	арргочес	b)∐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.		
, _	•	y to this Office action.	арргочес	b)∐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.		
Priority	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	y to this Office action. miner.			ved by the Examiner.		
Priority 13)⊠	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examunder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	y to this Office action. miner.			ved by the Examiner.		
Priority 13)⊠	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examunder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	y to this Office action. miner. priority under 35 U.S			ved by the Examiner.		
Priority 13)⊠	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exalunder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents here.	y to this Office action. miner. priority under 35 U.S eve been received. eve been received in A	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). No	,		
Priority 13)⊠ a)∫	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exalunder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents he compared to the p	y to this Office action. miner. priority under 35 U.S ave been received. ave been received in A documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	C. § 119(a Application received in the state of the state)-(d) or (f). No	,		
Priority 13) a) (The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examunder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents he copies of the priority documents he copies of the certified copies of the priority documents he application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	y to this Office action. miner. priority under 35 U.S ave been received. ave been received in A documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(s) the certified copies no	C. § 119(a Application or received in (i)).)-(d) or (f). No n this National	,		
Priority 13) a) (*S 14) □	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exal under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents he copies of the priority documents he copies of the certified copies of the priority documents he application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list of Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	y to this Office action. miner. priority under 35 U.S eve been received. ave been received in A documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a the certified copies no	C. § 119(a Application received in introduction)-(d) or (f). No n this National	,		
Priority 13) a) (*S 14) □	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examunder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents he copies of the priority documents he copies of the certified copies of the priority documents he application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	y to this Office action. miner. priority under 35 U.S ave been received. ave been received in A documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a the certified copies not tic priority under 35 U nal application has be	C. § 119(a Application received in)). It received. IS.C. § 119 In received	No n this National	,		
Priority 13) a) (*5 14) a)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examunder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign All b)□ Some* c)□ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents he 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents he 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Busine the attached detailed Office action for a list of Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest □ The translation of the foreign language provision Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	y to this Office action. miner. priority under 35 U.S ave been received. ave been received in A documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a the certified copies not tic priority under 35 U nal application has be	C. § 119(a Application received in)). It received. IS.C. § 119 In received	No n this National	,		
Priority 13) a) (14) a) 15) Attachm	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examunder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign All b)□ Some* c)□ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents he 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents he 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Busine the attached detailed Office action for a list of Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest □ The translation of the foreign language provision Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	y to this Office action. miner. priority under 35 U.S ave been received. ave been received in A documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a the certified copies not tic priority under 35 U nal application has be	Application received in the received. S.C. § 119 and received.	No n this National R(e).	,		
Priority 13) \(\infty \) a) \(\infty \) 14) \(\infty \) 15) \(\infty \) Attachn 11 \(\infty \) \(\infty \)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examunder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents he compared to the priority documents he copies of the priority documents he application from the International Busines the attached detailed Office action for a list of Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest The translation of the foreign language provision Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest the priority application from the foreign language provision Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest the priority application for the foreign language provision Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest the priority application for the foreign language provision acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest the priority application for the foreign language provision acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest the priority documents he can be pr	y to this Office action. miner. priority under 35 U.S ave been received. ave been received in A documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(s the certified copies no clic priority under 35 U nal application has be tic priority under 35 U	Application received in received. S.C. § 119 an received. S.C. § 12 (PTO-413) Pape)-(d) or (f). No n this National 9(e) 20 and/or 121.	,		

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 2. Claims 1-3 and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Johson(6,236,368).

Claims 1 and 7-8, figure 10 discloses a mobile station with an antenna(28), ground plane which is part of pcb(32), antenna raising component(48), and a speaker(not number, but on opposite side of pcb; col. 2, lines 40-43) all in a shared space(11) but with the distance between the antenna(28) and the ground plane(32) greater than a circuit board(32) and a back cover(lower portion of back cover).

Claim 2, the shared space disclosed in figure 10 includes the back and front covers.

Application/Control Number: 09/939,313

Page 3

Art Unit: 2821

Claim 3, the sound radiated from the back of the speaker in figure 10 will echo in the volume which is enclosed by the dielectric(30) and the pcb(32) which is part of the shared space disclosed in figure 8.

Claim 5, feed conductors are fastened to the antenna raising component(48) disclosed in figure 10.

Claim 6, the circuit board(32) disclosed in figure 10 is in the shared space.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johson in view of El-Sharawy(WO 97/47054).

Johson does not disclose a PIFA antenna.

Claim 4, figure 8 of El-Sharawy discloses a PIFA for multiple frequency operation(abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a PIFA element as disclosed in El-Sharawy with the device disclosed in Johson for multiple frequency operation as disclosed in El-Sharawy.

Application/Control Number: 09/939,313

Page 4

Art Unit: 2821

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Correspondence

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Jim Clinger whose phone number is (703) 305-0619.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/939,313

Art Unit: 2821

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Papers related to Technology Center 2800 applications only may be submitted to Technology Center 2800 by facsimile transmission. Any transmission not to be considered an official response must be clearly marked "DRAFT". The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Technology Center Fax Center number is (703) 308-7722 or (703) 308-7724.

Technology Center 2800

Nation of Potosomon Citad	Application/Control No. 09/939,313	Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexam Talvitie et al.	
Notice of References Cited	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jim Clinger	2821	Page 1 of 1

			Date MM-YYYY	U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS Name	Classification 2	
7	A	6,236,368	5/2001	Johson	343	702
	8					
	c					
	D					
1	E					
7	F					
1	G					
1	н					
	1					*.
1	J				1	
	K					
1	L					
7	м				1	

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

	Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification ²
N					
0					
P					
۵					
R					
s					
7					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

	Include, as applicable: Author, Title, Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages
υ	
v	
w	
x	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-892 (Rev. 01-2001)

Notice of References Cited

Part of Paper No. 8

A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. See MPEP \$ 707.05(a).

² Classifications may be U.S. or foreign.

P.02

(pot

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT(s):

Olli Talvitie

SERIAL NO.:

09/939,313

ART UNIT: 2821

FILING DATE:

August 24, 2001

MOBILE STATION

EXAMINER:

James C.

Clinger

TITLE:

MOBILE STATION AND ANTENNA ARRANGEMENT IN

DOCKET NO. :

ATTORNEY

324-010521-US(PAR)

FAX RECEIVED

Commissioner of Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

BOX AF

JAN 1 7 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

response

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action mailed, November, 6, 2002 (Paper No. 8) in regard to the above-identified patent application. Reconsideration of the rejection of the claims is respectfully solicited in light of the following amendment and remarks.

AMENDMENT

Please amend the Application as follows, a copy of the amendments with the amendments highlighted is attached as Appendix A:

2

Art Unit: 2821

IN THE CLAIMS:

Please delete claims 1 and 2 without prejudice.

Please amend the following claim(s) as rewritten below:

(Amended) A mobile station as claimed in claim , wherein said antenna assembly space is arranged to constitute an echoing volume for the speaker.

3. (Amended) A mobile station as claimed claim 2, wherein the type of the antenna is PIFA.

(Amended) A mobile station as claimed claim, wherein conductors or electronic components are fastened to the antenna raising component.

8. (Amended) A mobile station as claimed in claim 8, wherein an antenna circuit board is arranged in said antenna assembly space.

(Amended) An antenna arrangement for a mobile station, comprising an integrated antenna, an antenna ground plane and an antenna elevation piece arranged to keep the antenna at a determined height from the ground plane, wherein the antenna arrangement is arranged in a space shared with a speaker of the mobile station, and a circuit board is mounted in the mobile station such that it does not extend into said space.

(Amended) An antenna arrangement as claimed in claim /, wherein the antenna arrangement is arranged as an assembly module.

3

Art Unit: 2821

Please add the following claim:

9 (New) A mobile station having an integrated antenna assembly including, an antenna ground plane an antenna, and an antenna raising component arranged to keep the antenna at a determined height in respect of the ground plane, said mobile station comprising:



a front cover and back cover which define an overall space there between.

an antenna assembly space defined as part of said overall space, wherein said antenna assembly is mounted, said space extending from said front cover to said back cover;

a main circuit board space defined as part of said overall space separate from said antenna assembly space, wherein a main printed circuit board is mounted at a predetermined height from said back cover; and

wherein a speaker of the mobile station is also mounted in said antenna assembly space, and wherein said printed circuit board does not extend into said antenna assembly space.

REMARKS

Status of the Claims

Applicant has cancelled claims 1 and 2, added new claim 9 and amended claims 3-8. Claims 3-6 are now dependent on new claim 9. Applicant has amended the claims to clarify the novel features of the invention for which protection is sought in this application. These amendments are submitted after final rejection in order to place the claims in condition for allowance or in the alternative to place the claims in better condition for appeal. The Examiner is requested to exercise his

er. No.: U9/939,313

Art Unit: 2821

discretion and enter these amendments. Claims 3-8 and 9 remain under consideration.

Prior claims 1-3, and 5-8 stand rejected under 35USC102(e) based on the newly cited reference Johson, US Patent No. 6,236,368. Claim 4 stands rejected under 35USC103, based on the reference Johson in view of the reference El-Sharawy, WO 97/47054. The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider his rejection in view of the above amendments and the following remarks.

The Invention

The subject invention relates to an antenna assembly, which includes an antenna, an antenna support (raising component) and a ground plain, for a mobile telephone or the like. The antenna is a planar type constructed to be contained within the housing of a mobile unit. In order to maximize the available space for separating the radiating element of the antenna from its associated ground plane a dedicated space is provided within the housing to accommodate the antenna assembly. The circuit board of the mobile unit is shortened so that it does not intrude into this dedicated space. The ground plane of the antenna is not mounted on the circuit board, as is typical of prior art designs, but may be mounted opposite from the radiating elements at a distance which is only limited by the thickness of the This space is also advantageously used to enclose the speaker, thereby improving the speaker response. This avoids the need to provide sufficient height above the circuit board to provide the necessary separation of the radiating elements from the ground plane. The antenna configuration of the cited reference typifies the prior art and does not disclose the dedicated space provided by the subject invention, as described

Art Unit: 2821

in the claims.

Discussion of the Cited References

The Examiner now relies on the disclosure of the reference Johson. In particular the Examiner has referred to the embodiment of figure 10 of the cited reference. This embodiment, shows an antenna assembly 12, supported at a height above a ground plane 32 in a typical fashion. The ground plane is mounted on a printed circuit which is element 20 in figure 1. The printed circuit board is clearly shown extending under antenna assembly 12, as acknowledged by the examiner with reference to a speaker. The examiner indicates:

P. 06

.... figure 10 discloses a mobile station with an antenna(28), ground plane which is part of pcb(32), antenna raising component(48), and a speaker(not number, but on opposite side of pcb; col. 2, lines 40-43) all in a shared space(11)."

This is the typical configuration of the prior art. New claim 9 and amended claim 7 clearly indicate that the printed circuit board does not extend into the antenna space. This permits a greater height to be maintained of the antenna from the ground plane. The extension of the printed circuit board under the antenna, as shown in the cited reference, necessarily limits the height of the antenna from the ground plane. This is precisely the problem to which this invention is addressed. In addition the printed circuit board effectively divides the space in which the speaker operates from the space in which the antenna operates. There is therefore no "shared space" as described in the claims of this application.

6

Art Unit: 2821

The Issue of Anticipation

As previously stated, it is well settled that a claim is anticipated, "only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference." (See CHISOLM, Federal Circuit Guide, Pg. 1221).

"...it must be shown that the reference contains all of the elements of the claims apart from irrelevant or merely extraneous variations, and the elements are arranged in the same way to achieve the same result which is asserted to be an inventive function..." 454 U.S. 1129 (1981)

The elements of the claim and their function and purpose within the claim must be reviewed in a manner similar to an infringement analysis. If the device described in the cited reference would not infringe if it was later, it will not anticipate if the reference is earlier.

Applying this standard to the antenna arrangement of Johson, it is clear that key elements of the claims of this application are missing:

For example in claim 9:

"an antenna assembly space defined as part of said overall space, wherein said antenna assembly is mounted, said space extending from said front cover to said back cover;

a main circuit board space defined as part of said overall space separate from said antenna assembly space, wherein a main printed circuit board is mounted at a predetermined height from said back cover; and

wherein a speaker of the mobile station is also mounted in said antenna assembly space, and wherein said printed circuit board does not extend into said antenna assembly space."

_

Ser. No.: 09/939,313

Art Unit: 2821

7

And in claim 7:

"wherein the antenna arrangement is arranged in a space shared with a speaker of the mobile station, and a circuit board is mounted in the mobile station such that it does not extend into said space."

Accordingly there would be no infringement and therefore, the cited reference cannot support the rejection based on anticipation.

Since the primary reference fails to support the rejection of the independent claims, the rejection of dependent claims also must fail. The above arguments are therefore equally applicable to the rejected dependent claims.

With regard to the rejection of claim 4 under 35USC103, it follows that the primary reference Johson does not teach all of the elements of the claims and these deficiencies are not remedied by the teaching of the cited reference El-Sharawy. The combined references do not support the rejection as presented by the Examiner.

SUMMARY

In view of the amendments to this application and the arguments stated above, Applicant submits that the claims under consideration contain patentable subject matter and favorable action by the Examiner is respectfully requested.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment for any fees associated with this communication or credit any over

P.09

JAN-17-2003 15:40

PERMAN & GREEN, LLP

Ser. No.: 09/939,313 Art Unit: 2821

payment to Deposit Account No. 16-1350.

Respectfully submitted,

1/17/03

Janik Marcovici (Reg. No. 42,841)

Perman & Green, LLP

425 Post Road

Fairfield, CT 06824

203-259-1800

Customer No.: 2512

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to (703) 308-7722 on the date indicated below, addressed to the Box AF. Commissioner of Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Date: 1/17/03

Signature:___

FAX RECEIVED

JAN 1 7 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

9

Art Unit: 2821

Appendix A to Response in Application No.: 09/939,313

Amendment to the Claims:

- 3. (Amended) A mobile station as claimed in claim 19, wherein the emptysaid antenna assembly space in the antenna raising component is arranged to constitute an echoing volume for the speaker.
- 4. (Amended) A mobile station as claimed claim 49, wherein the type of the antenna is PIFA.
- 5. (Amended) A mobile station as claimed claim ± 9 , wherein conductors or electronic components are fastened to the antenna raising component.
- 6. (Amended) A mobile station as claimed in claim ±9, wherein an antenna circuit board is arranged in the shared said antenna assembly space.
- 7. (Amended) An antenna arrangement for a mobile station, comprising an integrated antenna, an antenna ground plane and an antenna elevation piece arranged to keep the antenna at a determined height from the ground plane, wherein the antenna arrangement is arranged in a space shared with a speaker of the mobile station, and said determined height of the antenna is substantially greater than the space between a back cover and a circuit board is mounted in the mobile station such that it does not extend into said space.
- 8. (Amended) An antenna arrangement as claimed in claim 7, wherein the antenna arrangement is arranged as an assembly module.

FACSIMILE MESSAGE FROM

PERMAN & GREEN, LLP 425 Post Road Fairfield, CT 06430

Facsimile number: 203/255-5170 Main telephone number: 203/259-1800

Date:	January 17, 2003	
To:	James C. Clinger Art Unit: 2821	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Fax No.;	(703) 308-7722	
RE:	Serial No. 09/939, 313	and the state of t
From:	Janik Marcovici, Esq.	
Number of patransmitted:	iges, including this sheet, being	.
Attache	ed for filing please find:	FAX RECEIVED
Ап	mendment (9 pages)	JAN 1 7 2003
		TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

The original of this facoimile will be sent to you via:

_first class mail _ priority mail _ overnight mail _ Z this is the only vorsion you will receive

THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE ADDRESSEE AND MAY CONTAIN CONFIDENTIAL OR LEGALLY PRIVILEGED INFORMATION.

If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use or dissemination of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you receive this transmission in error, please notify us immediately by telephone so that we can arrange for the return of the decuments to us at no cost to you.