

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

APPLE INC. and NEXT SOFTWARE, INC. (f/k/a NeXT COMPUTER, INC.),
Plaintiffs and Counterclaim-Defendants,
v.
MOTOROLA, INC. and MOTOROLA MOBILITY, INC.,
Defendants and Counterclaim-Plaintiffs.
Case No. 10-CV-662 (BBC)

JOINT [PROPOSED] ADDENDUM TO THE PROTECTIVE ORDER

Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26(c) and in order to facilitate production and receipt of information from non-party Google Inc. ("Google") during discovery in the above-referenced action, Plaintiffs and Counterclaim-Defendants Apple Inc. ("Apple") and NeXT Software, Inc. ("NeXT") and Google hereby respectfully move for entry of the following addendum to the Stipulated Protective Order, entered on February 1, 2011 (collectively referred to herein as the "Protective Order"). Defendants and Counterclaim-Plaintiffs Motorola Solutions, Inc. (f/k/a Motorola, Inc.) and Motorola Mobility, Inc. do not oppose this addendum.

NON-PARTY GOOGLE INC.

29. The following additional protections shall apply to confidential source code produced by non-party Google Inc.:

a. The following provisions shall apply to individuals with access to the confidential source code produced by non-party Google Inc.

i. No more than a total of 20 individuals identified by the receiving party shall have access to the secure room in which Google Inc. produces material designated with the label “CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION INCLUDING HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE CODE”

ii. No more than a total of 30 individuals identified by the receiving party shall have access to the printed portions of material produced by Google Inc. designated with the label “CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION INCLUDING HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE CODE” (except insofar as such code appears in any filing with the Court or expert report in this case).

b. The Source Code Computer provided by non-party Google shall run a reasonably current version of Microsoft Windows. Non-party Google shall not be obligated to install any software that provides an alternate operating system environment within the Microsoft Windows environment on its Source Code Computer.

c. Non-party Google shall install commercially available software tools for viewing and searching source code requested by the receiving party’s outside counsel and/or experts on the Source Code Computer provided by non-party Google, provided, however, that such other software tools are reasonably necessary for the receiving party to perform its review of the source code consistent with the all of the protection herein. Non-party Google shall not be obligated to install requested print formatting software or file comparison tool software but agrees to make reasonable accommodations (such as discarding unwanted pages) to account for any printing errors.

d. The receiving party may print only those portions of files that are necessary to the preparation of its case. In the event that the receiving party believes there is a need to print more than ten (10) contiguous pages of a file, or more than a total of 100 printed pages of a

file, the burden shall be on the receiving party to demonstrate that such printed portions are no more than is reasonably necessary for a permitted purpose and not merely printed for the purposes of review and analysis elsewhere.

e. Prior to the first inspection of any material produced by Google Inc. designated with the label “CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION INCLUDING HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE CODE,” the requesting party shall provide ten (10) days notice. The requesting party shall provide two (2) days notice prior to any additional inspections of the same source code files, although Google Inc. will be reasonable in accommodating requests of less than two (2) days. The receiving party shall identify any individual who will be given access to the material produced by Google Inc. designated with the label “CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION INCLUDING HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE CODE” at least ten (10) days prior to the first time any such individual is given access to the source code files, after which time Google Inc. may object to providing access to any persons so identified. The receiving party shall provide two (2) days notice any time each such individual is given access to the material produced by Google Inc. designated with the label “CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION INCLUDING HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE CODE” after the first time, although Google Inc. will be reasonable in accommodating notice of less than two (2) days.

f. The following provisions shall apply to independent expert witnesses and consultants with access to confidential source code produced by non-party Google Inc.:

i. Any independent expert witness or consultant who is approved by Google Inc. shall not be given access to material produced by Google Inc. designated with the label “CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION INCLUDING HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE CODE” unless he or she shall have (a) complied with the terms of paragraph 11 and pursuant to paragraph 29(c) above, and (b) agreed in

writing not to write source code directly intended for commercial purposes relating to the technical subject matter of the material produced by Google Inc. designated with the label “CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION INCLUDING HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE CODE” for a period of six (6) months after the issuance of a final, non-appealable decision resolving all issues in this matter.

ii. In addition to the prohibition on outside counsel involved in competitive decision-making on behalf of a party accessing protected materials in paragraph 10(b) of the Protective Order, no independent expert witness or consultant shall have access to material produced by Google Inc. designated with the label “CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION INCLUDING HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE CODE” unless he or she is not involved in competitive-decision making, as defined by *U.S. Steel v. United States*, 730 F.2d 1465, 1468 n.3 (Fed. Cir. 1984), on behalf of a party or a competitor of a party in the technical subject matter of the confidential business information or material produced by Google Inc. designated with the label “CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION INCLUDING HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE CODE.”

g. For depositions, the receiving party shall not bring copies of any printed material produced by Google Inc. designated with the label “CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION INCLUDING HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE CODE.” Rather, at least five (5) days before the date of the deposition, the receiving party shall notify Google Inc. about the specific portions of material produced by Google Inc. designated with the label “CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION INCLUDING HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE CODE” it wishes to use at the deposition, and Google Inc. shall bring printed copies of those portions to the deposition for use by the receiving party. All paper copies of material produced by Google Inc. designated with the label “CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION INCLUDING HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE CODE” brought to the deposition shall be securely destroyed in a timely manner following the deposition.

30. Notwithstanding Paragraph 1 of the Protective Order, Protected Materials produced by non-party Google Inc. designated as “CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER” or “CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION INCLUDING HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE CODE” in accordance with the provisions of paragraph 2 shall only be used in this matter and not in any other matter.

Dated: August 25, 2011

Respectfully Submitted,

<p><u>/s/ Jill J. Ho</u> James D. Peterson (# 1022819) jpg Peterson@gklaw.com One East Main Street, Suite 500 P.O. Box 2719 Madison, WI 53701-2719 Telephone: (608) 257-3911 Facsimile: (608) 257-0609</p> <p>Jill J. Ho jill.ho@weil.com WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 201 Redwood Shores Parkway Redwood Shores, CA 94065 Telephone: (650) 802-3000 Facsimile: (650) 802-3100</p> <p>Mark G. Davis mark.davis@weil.com WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 1300 Eye Street, N.W., Suite 900 Washington, DC 20005 Telephone: (202) 682-7000 Facsimile: (202) 857-0940</p> <p>Elizabeth Stotland Weiswasser elizabeth.weiswasser@weil.com WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 767 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10153 Telephone: (212) 310-8000 Facsimile: (212) 310-8007</p>	<p><u>/s/ Matthew S. Warren</u> Amy H. Candido amycandido@quinnemanuel.com QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP 50 California St. 22nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700</p> <p>Matthew S. Warren matthewwarren@quinnemanuel.com QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP 865 S, Figueroa St. 10th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: (213) 443-3000 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100</p> <p><i>Attorneys for Non-Party Google Inc.</i></p>
---	--

Matthew D. Powers

matthew.powers@tensegritylawgroup.com

Steven S. Cherensky

steven.cherensky@tensegritylawgroup.com

TENSEGRITY LAW GROUP LLP

201 Redwood Shores Parkway, Suite 401

Redwood Shores, CA 94065

Telephone: 650-802-6000

Facsimile: 650-802-6001

Robert T. Haslam

rhaslam@cov.com

COVINGTON & BURLING LLP

333 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 700

Redwood Shores, CA 94065-1418

Telephone: (650) 632-4700

Facsimile: (650) 632-4800

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs Apple Inc.
and NeXT Software, Inc.*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on August 25, 2011, I caused the foregoing document to be electronically filed with the Clerk of Court using the ECF system, which will make this document available to all counsel of record for viewing and downloading from the ECF system.

/s/ Jill J. Ho
Jill J. Ho