UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

APPLE INC. and NeXT SOFT WARE,)	
INC. (f/k/a NeXT COMPUTER, INC.),)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	Case No. 10-CV-662-BBC
)	
)	
V.)	
)	
MOTOROLA, INC. and MOTOROLA)	
MOBILITY, INC.	Ś	
,	Ś	
Defendants.)	
Detendants.	,	

MOTOROLA'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND AND REPLY TO SUMMARY JUDGMENT PAPERS

Defendants Motorola Solutions, Inc. (f/k/a Motorola, Inc.) and Motorola Mobility, Inc. (collectively "Motorola"), by their undersigned attorneys, respectfully request that this Court modify the upcoming deadlines for responding and replying to the summary judgment motion papers filed on November 4, 2011. Currently, the deadline for responding to briefs and proposed findings of fact is November 28, 2011, and the deadline for replying to briefs and proposed findings of fact is December 8, 2011. Motorola respectfully requests that the deadline for responses be moved to **December 2, 2011**, and the deadline for replies be moved to **December 20, 2011**. Plaintiffs Apple, Inc. and Next Software, Inc. (collectively "Apple") oppose this motion.

Motorola seeks an extension in the briefing schedule for the summary judgment motions for the following reasons:

1. On November 4, 2011, the parties served their cross motions for summary judgment. (Dkt. Nos. 208-48.) Apple's supporting summary judgment memorandum

exceeds 200 pages and includes more than 100 supporting exhibits. (*Id.*) Motorola's supporting memorandum exceeds 160 pages and also includes a similar number of exhibits. (*Id.*) As this Court is aware, Apple is asserting thirteen patents and Motorola is asserting five patents, concerning complex and distinct subject matter. Given the complexity of the subject matter and the breadth of the arguments presented, Motorola believes additional time would assist the parties in preparing proper responsive and reply briefs to best assist the Court in resolving these motions.

- 2. The summary judgment motions provide the best opportunity to pare the case, to the extent appropriate, before trial. Given the number of patents at issue and the voluminous summary judgment filings, the parties and the Court should have ample time to dedicate to these filings.
- 3. Additional time is also necessary to allow for depositions of two third-party witnesses who provided declarations in support of Apple's motion for summary judgment (and whose declarations were not provided before Apple's motion) and to incorporate testimony from those depositions into Motorola's response and reply briefs.¹
- 4. Motorola is sensitive to the fact that extending these dates will reduce the time the Court will have to analyze the summary judgment motions before trial. Motorola would be amenable to an extension of the trial date as necessary to provide sufficient time to resolve these motions and thereby pare down the case for the jury. As Apple itself has

¹ Motorola is currently scheduled to depose George Kondylis of Broadcom Corp. and Ken Ho of Marvell Semiconductor, Inc. on November 17 and 22, respectively. Messrs. Kondylis's and Ho's testimony is relevant to Motorola's response to Apple's arguments regarding Motorola patent nos. 5,311,516, 5,219, 712, and 5,572,193.

recently moved to stay this case (Dkt. Nos. 154-156), Apple could suffer no cognizable

prejudice to such an extension.

Accordingly, Motorola respectfully requests that this Court grant the extension to the

summary judgment briefing schedule set forth above, and, to the extent necessary, hold a

scheduling conference to discuss extending the trial date in this case.

Dated: November 16, 2011 Respectfully submitted,

MOTOROLA MOBILITY, INC. MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS, INC.

By: <u>s/ Lynn M. Stathas</u> Lynn M. Stathas Edward J. DeFranco Alexander Rudis Richard W. Erwine

Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor

New York, NY 10010 Telephone: (212) 849-7000 Facsimile: (212) 849-7100

Email: eddefranco@quinnemanuel.com alexanderrudis@quinnemanuel.com richarderwine@quinnemanuel.com

David A. Nelson Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP 500 West Madison St., Suite 2450 Chicago, IL 60661 Email: davenelson@quinnemanuel.com

Robert W. Stone
Brian Cannon
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP
555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 560
Redwood Shores, CA 94065
Email: robertstone@quinnemanuel.com
briancannon@quinnemanuel.com

Charles K. Verhoeven Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP 50 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94111

Email: charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com

Scott W. Hansen Lynn M. Stathas Lisa Nester Kass Reinhart Boerner Van Deuren s.c. 22 East Mifflin Street P.O. Box 2018 Madison, WI 53701-2018 Telephone: (608) 229-2200

Facsimile: (608) 229-2100 1000 North Water Street, Suite 1700

Milwaukee, WI 53202 Telephone: (414) 298-1000 Facsimile: (414) 298-8097

Email: shansen@reinhartlaw.com lstathas@reinhartlaw.com lkass@reinhartlaw.com

Attorneys for Defendants Motorola Solutions, Inc. and Motorola Mobility, Inc.