# **EXHIBIT 26**

SS Subclass	CLASSIFICATION		_	5	946647
UTILITY SERIAL NUMBE		PATENT DATE	T COPY PATEN NUMBI	т	5946647
SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE UP A UT A COM	CLASS 705	SUBCLASS	GROUP ART UNIT	EXAMINER EDESUPIAD
ELEM DE CHARACTE AL PERSON DE LA PERSON DEPENSON DE LA PERSON DEPSE DE LA PERSON DE	HTG MEUNTE Min view, c	aln VITW. tag Ja: pavid WRI	(FILIMAS) 160PK GHT, SANTA 1	Ng), (n⊬ CDULA, 7 TARG, CA⊭	6; 2000 D.
**CONTINUING VERTETED	PORTER + + + + +	P 雷洛 秋水 秋水 张 珠 珠 珠 珠 珠 珠 珠	(* · }   k		
Have one					and the second s
VERTIFIED	PPLICATI	: [ONS********	* † <i>ት</i>		
01/22/98			ø		
Foreign priority claimed 35 USC 119 conditions met	yes DY/no yes DY/no yes		SHEETS TOTAL	INDEP. FILING FEE CLAIMS RECEIVED	ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NO.
8 HHHH EUN	PPO S FERRE & & AYSHORE ROM	AL ALLENDAN	Helene Apple Infin	Power 1st	
SYSTEM AND HELLMATER D	AIA	PEREGRMING A	N. MCM TOR W	U.S. DEPT. OF COMM/PAT.	
PARTS OF APPLIC			A Comment	Applica	tions Examiner
NOTICE OF ALLOW	99	PATRICH N. Assistant Examiner	EDoumy	Total Claims	ALLOWED Print Claim
[2]0.00 [4	Paid 0/24/99	SUPERVISORY TECHNOLOG	ER W. ISEN PATENT EXAMINER Y CENTER DY (EVAM) FOR ISSUE	Sheets Drwg. Figs.	Drwg. Print Fig.
Area		by the United	d States Code Title 35,	y be restricted. Unauthorized dle Sections 122, 181 and 368. Po ed to authorized employees and	ossession outside the U.S. contractors only.
Form <b>PTO-436A</b> (Rev. 8/92)				Formal Drawings (	_shts) set



# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED

MAR 25 1999

APPLICANTS:

James R. Miller et al.

SERIAL NO.:

08/595,257

Group 2700

FILING DATE:

February 1, 1996

TITLE:

System and Method for Performing an Action on a Structure

EXAMINÉR:

Patrick N. Edouard

ART UNIT:

-2741- D747

ATTY. DKT. NO: P1716/357US

#### **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING** -

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Box Non-Fee Amendment, Washington, D.C. 20231, on the date printed below:

Date: March 15, 1999

### **AMENDMENT**

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed December 15, 1998 (paper #11), please amend the above-identified application as follows:

darad/1999 SSGLEEKU 00000033 08595257

14 fC:108

72.00 OP

# IN THE CLAIMS:

1.	1. (Once amended) A computer-based system for detecting structures in data
2	and performing actions on detected structures, comprising:
3	an input device for receiving data;
4	an output device for presenting the data;
5	a memory storing information including program routines[, the program
6	routines comprising:] including
7	an analyzer server for detecting structures in the data, and for
8	linking actions to the detected structures;
9	a user interface enabling the selection of a detected structure and a
10	linked action; and
11	an action processor for performing the selected action [on] linked
12	to the selected structure; and
13	a processing unit coupled to the input device, the output device, and the
14	memory for controlling the execution of the program routines.

1	13 N. (Once amended) A program storage medium [having] storing a computer
2	program [stored therein] for causing a computer to perform the steps of:
3	receiving computer data;
4	detecting a structure in the data;
5	linking at least one action to the detected structure,
6	enabling selection of the structure and a linked action; and
7	executing the selected action [on] linked to the selected structure.

- 1 12 (Once amended) In a computer having a memory storing actions, a system
- 2 for causing the computer to perform an action on a structure identified in
- 3 computer data, comprising:
- 4 means for receiving computer data;
- 5 means for detecting a structure in the data;
- 6 means for linking at least one action to the detected structure;
- 7 means for selecting the structure and a linked action; and
- 8 means for executing the selected action [on] <u>linked to</u> the selected
- 9 structure.

	1	(Once amended) In a computer having a memory storing actions, a method					
	2	for causing the computer to perform an action on a structure identified in					
	3	computer data, comprising the steps of:					
63	4	receiving computer data;					
	18	detecting a structure in the data;					
96/h	6	linking at least one action to the detected structure;					
(	7	enabling selection of the structure and a linked action; and					
	8	executing the selected action [on] linked to the selected structure.					
		19					
	1	(Once amended) The method recited in claim [12] 13 wherein the memory					
	2	contains strings, and wherein the step of detecting a structure further comprises					
OF	3	the steps of retrieving a string from the memory and scanning the data to					
	4	identify the string.					

^	5
1	J

12

1	20. (Once amended) A computer-based method for causing a computer to
2	identify, select and perform an action on a structure in computer data[, said
3	data] received from a concurrently running application, said application
4	presenting the computer data to the user, the method comprising the steps of:
5	receiving computer data from the application;
6	detecting a structure in the computer data;
7	linking at least one action to the detected structure;
8	communicating with the application to determine the location of the
9	detected structure as presented by the application, to enable selection of the
10	detected structure and a linked action, and to determine if the detected structure
11	and a linked action have been selected; and

performing a selected action [on] <u>linked to</u> the detected pattern.

# Add the following claims:

- 1 24. The system recited in claim 1, wherein the user interface enables the selection
- 2 of a detected structure and a linked action using sound activation.
- 122. The system recited in claim 1, wherein a first one of the actions may invoke a
- 2 second one of the actions.

1 23. The method recited in claim 19, wherein the step of enabling uses sound

- 2 activation.
- 24. The method recited in claim 15, wherein a first one of the actions may invoke
- 2 a second one of the actions.

#### **REMARKS**

Claims 1-20 are pending in this case and have all been rejected under U.S.C. § 103(a). In response, Applicants are amending claims 1, 11-13, and 20. Applicants are also amending claim 17 to correct a typographical error, and adding claims 21-24 to cover further aspects of the invention. Applicants respectfully submit that all pending claims 1-20 and added claims 21-24 present subject matter that is patentable over the prior art of record, and, in view of the above amendments and the following remarks, request that the Examiner reconsider the application.

#### SUPPORT IN THE SPECIFICATION FOR ADDED CLAIMS

Support in the specification for added claims is as follows:

## Claims 21 and 23:

using sound activation: page 11, lines 9-12.

## Claims 22 and 24:

a first one of the actions may invoke a second one of the actions: page 5, lines 6-13.

# REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a)

In paragraph 3 of the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-20 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,164,899 to Sobotka et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,247,437 to Vale et al.

Regarding claims 1, 11-13, and 20 the Examiner conceded that "Sobotka et al do not explicitly teach the linking of action to the detected structures," then asserted that *Vale et al.* teach that "the linking between DIN and HN structure maintained by data structure is provided by page instance nodes."

Consequently, the Examiner concluded "it would have obvious . . . to incorporate the linking action as taught by Vale in the system of Sobotka et al because it would provide a system where data could be retrieved faster." In response, Applicants are amending claims 1, 11-13, and 20, and, as the rejections might be applied to the amended claims, respectfully traverse.

Amended claim 1 recites, in relevant part:

A computer-based system for detecting structures in data and performing actions on detected structures, comprising:

a memory storing information including program routines including

an analyzer server for detecting structures in the data, and for linking actions to the detected structures;

. . . : and

an action processor for performing the selected action linked to the selected structure;

. . . .

The linked actions of the claimed invention are patentably distinguished from the heading node (HN) structure of *Vale*. The linked actions enable execution of an action (page 16, line 22 to page 17, line 1), which is a computer subroutine causing a CPU to perform a sequence of operations (page 5, lines 4-5). Additionally, in the claimed invention, "[a]n action may specify opening another application, loading the identified structure into an appropriate field,

and closing the application. An action may further include internal actions . . . and external actions . . . ." (page 5, lines 6-11). Thus the linked actions can cure deficiencies of prior systems employing laborious and disruptive processes (page 1, lines 17-21, page 2 line 11 to page 3 line 14).

In contrast, the HN structure of *Vale* consists of heading nodes, each of which includes the title of its associated index entry (col. 1, lines 56-57) and defines information for one of the headings listed in the heading column of a keyword list (col. 3 lines 12-13). Each heading node also stores a heading string, a sort string, a see string, and a heading ID (col. 3, lines 15-17). The HN structure is thus used to delineate the structural relationship of the key words or headings for a given index (col. 3, lines 25-29), but cannot cause a CPU to perform an operation, or an action, as can the claimed invention.

In brief, Sobotka does not teach or suggest linking a structure to an action. Vale discloses linking to an informational structure, but that does not cure the references' lack of linking to an action. Therefore, the claimed invention, which recites linking to an action, or as claimed "linking actions to the detected structures," is patentably distinguished from Sobotka and Vale, either alone or in combination. Further, because there is no linking to an action in Sobotka or Vale, there cannot be, as in the claimed invention, "selection of . . . a linked action," or "performing the selected action linked to the selected structure" (emphasis added). Consequently, claim 1 is patentable.

Claims 2-10 depend directly or indirectly from claim 1 and are therefore patentable for at least the same reasons.

Claim 3 recites the further limitation of "wherein the input device receives the data from an application running *concurrently*" (emphasis added), which is not disclosed or suggested in the cited passages of *Sobotka* or *Vale*, either alone or in combination. Therefore, claim 3 is patentable for this additional reason.

Claim 8 recites the further limitation of "wherein the user interface highlights detected structures," which is not disclosed or suggested in the cited passages of *Sobotka* or *Vale*, either alone or in combination. Therefore, claim 8 is patentable for this additional reason.

Claim 9 recites the further limitation of "wherein the user interface enables selection of an action by causing the output device to display a pop-up menu of the linked action," which is not disclosed or suggested in the cited passages of *Sobotka* or *Vale*, either alone or in combination. Therefore, claim 9 is patentable for this additional reason.

Claim 10 recites the further limitation of "wherein the programs stored in the memory further comprise an application running concurrently . . ., and an application program interface that provides interrupts and communicates with the application" (emphasis added), which is not disclosed or suggested in the cited passages of Sobotka or Vale, either alone or in combination. Therefore, claim 10 is patentable for this additional reason.

Claims 11-13 and 20 recite limitations corresponding to those of claim 1, and are therefore patentable for at least the same reasons.

Claims 14-19 depend directly or indirectly from claim 13, and are therefore patentable for at least the same reasons.

Claim 14 recites a limitation corresponding to claim 3 and is therefore patentable for this additional reason.

Claim 16 recites the further limitation of "wherein the step of linking at least one action . . . includes the step of linking the particular action to the detected structure," which is not disclosed or suggested in *Sobotka* or *Vale*, either alone or in combination. Therefore, claim 16 is patentable for this additional reason.

Claim 18 recites a limitation corresponding to claim 8 and is therefore patentable for this additional reason.

# **SUMMARY**

In conclusion, Applicants respectfully submit that all pending claims 1-20 and added claims 21-24 clearly present patentable subject matter over the prior art of record, and therefore request that the Examiner withdraw the rejections of the pending claims, consider the added claims, and pass the application to issue. If the Examiner has questions regarding this case, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

James R. Miller et al.

Date: March 15, 1999

Tuan V. Ngo, Reg. No. 44,259

Carr & Ferrell LLP

2225 East Bayshore Road, Suite 200

Palo Alto, CA 94303 Phone (650) 812-3400 Fax (650) 812-3444