

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

---

JOHN F. BROWN,

Petitioner,

v.

MARC CLEMENTS, Warden,  
Fox Lake Correctional Institution,

Respondent.

---

ORDER

12-cv-861-bbc

On June 6, 2013, I denied John F. Brown's petition for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 because he had not shown that he is entitled to a writ of habeas corpus. Also in the June 6 order, I denied petitioner's request for a certificate of appealability. Now before the court is a document from petitioner that was forwarded from the Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals, titled "Application for Certificate of Appealability from District Court." Petitioner followed up by filing a separate notice of appeal. To the extent that petitioner seeks reconsideration of the earlier decision to deny him a certificate of appealability, he has raised no new argument persuading me that the earlier decision was incorrect.

Turning to petitioner's appeal, because he has not paid the \$455 filing fee for the appeal, I also construe his notice as a request to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal. Petitioner's appeal is not subject to the 1996 Prison Litigation Reform Act. Walker v. O'Brien, 216 F.3d 626, 628-629 (7th Cir. 2000) ("the PLRA does not apply to any requests

for collateral relief under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2241, 2254, or 2255"). Nevertheless, in determining whether a petitioner is eligible for indigent status on appeal under 28 U.S.C. § 1915, the court must find both that the petitioner does not have the means to pay the \$455 fee for filing his appeal and that the appeal is taken in good faith. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1) and (3).

I do not intend to certify that petitioner's appeal is not taken in good faith.

In determining whether a habeas corpus petitioner is eligible for pauper status, the court applies the formula set out in 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1). Specifically, from a petitioner's trust fund account statement for the six-month period immediately preceding the filing of his appeal, I add the deposits made to petitioner's account and calculate 20% of the greater of the average monthly deposits or the average monthly balance in the account. If the 20% figure is more than the fee petitioner owes for filing his appeal, he may not proceed in forma pauperis. If the 20% figure is less than \$455, he must prepay whatever portion of the fee the calculation yields.

I cannot tell whether petitioner qualifies for indigent status on appeal because he has not submitted a trust fund account statement for the six-month period immediately preceding the filing of his notice of appeal. Therefore, I will stay a decision on petitioner's request for leave to proceed in forma pauperis pending his submission of the necessary trust fund account statement.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that a decision whether petitioner John F. Brown may proceed in forma pauperis on appeal is STAYED. Petitioner may have until August 9, 2013 in which to submit a trust fund account statement for the six-month period beginning approximately December 27, 2012 and ending approximately June 27, 2013. If, by August 9, 2013, petitioner fails to submit the necessary trust fund account statement, I will deny his request for leave to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal for his failure to show that he is entitled to indigent status on appeal.

Entered this 22d day of July, 2013.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

BARBARA B. CRABB

District Judge