

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

CLARENCE GIVENS,

Plaintiff,

v.

KENNETH LUEDTKE, *et al.*,

Defendants.

ORDER

13-cv-848-wmc

Plaintiff Clarence Givens filed a civil action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, alleging that he was denied adequate dental care in 2007. On May 6, 2014, the court dismissed this action as barred by the governing statute of limitations and, alternatively, for failure to state a claim upon which relief could be granted under § 1983. Givens has now filed a motion for relief from the judgment, which is construed as one seeking to alter or amend the judgment under Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e). To prevail on a motion under Rule 59(e), the moving party must identify an error of law that merits reconsideration of the judgment. *See Obriecht v. Raemisch*, 517 F.3d 489, 494 (7th Cir. 2008); *Sigsworth v. City of Aurora, Ill.*, 487 F.3d 506, 511-12 (7th Cir. 2007). Although Givens disagrees with the decision, he does not show that the dismissal order was entered in error or that he is entitled to relief from the judgment. Accordingly, the motion will be denied.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff Clarence Givens's motion for relief from the judgment (dkt. # 8) is DENIED.

Entered this 12th day of June, 2014.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

WILLIAM M. CONLEY
District Judge