IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

VIRGINIA WOLF and CAROL SCHUMACHER, KAMI YOUNG and KARINA WILLES, ROY BADGER and GARTH WANGEMANN, CHARVONNE KEMP and MARIE CARLSON, JUDITH TRAMPF and KATHARINA HEYNING, SALUD GARCIA and PAMELA KLEISS, WILLIAM HURTUBISE and LESLIE PALMER, JOHANNES WALLMANN and KEITH BORDEN.

Plaintiffs,

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

٧.

Case No. 14-cv-64-bbc

SCOTT WALKER, in his official capacity as Governor of Wisconsin, J.B. VAN HOLLEN, in his official capacity as Attorney General of Wisconsin, RICHARD G. CHANDLER, in his official capacity as Secretary of Revenue of Wisconsin, OSKAR ANDERSON, in his official capacity as State Registrar of Wisconsin, GARY KING, in his official capacity as Eau Claire County District Attorney, JOHN CHISHOLM, in his official capacity as Milwaukee County District Attorney, JOSEPH CZARNEZKI, in his official capacity as Milwaukee County Clerk, WENDY CHRISTENSEN, in her official capacity as Racine County Clerk and SCOTT MCDONELL, in his official capacity as Dane County Clerk,

Defendants.

This action came for consideration before the court with District Judge Barbara B. Crabb presiding. The issues have been considered and a decision has been rendered.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the motion to dismiss filed by defendants Scott Walker, J.B. Van Hollen, Richard G. Chandler, Oskar Anderson, Gary King and John Chisholm is GRANTED with respect to defendants Gary King, John Chisholm and Richard G. Chandler. The complaint is DISMISSED as to defendants King, Chisholm and Chandler.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the motion for summary judgment

Judgment in a Civil Case Page 2

filed by plaintiffs Virginia Wolf, Carol Schumacher, Kami Young, Karina Willes, Roy Badger, Garth Wangemann, Charvonne Kemp, Marie Carlson, Judith Trampf, Katharina Heyning, Salud Garcia, Pamela Kleiss, William Hurtubise, Leslie Palmer, Johannes Wallmann and Keith Borden is GRANTED. It is DECLARED that art. XIII, § 13 of the Wisconsin Constitution violates plaintiffs' fundamental right to marry and their right to equal protection of laws under the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution. Any Wisconsin statutory provisions, including those in Wisconsin Statutes chapter 765, that limit marriages to a "husband" and a "wife," are unconstitutional as applied to same-sex couples.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that:

- Defendants Wendy Christensen, Joseph Czarnezki and Scott McDonell, in their official
 capacities, and their officers, agents, servants, employees and attorneys, and all those
 acting in concert with them are permanently enjoined from denying a marriage license to
 a couple because both applicants for the license are the same sex;
- 2. Defendant Oskar Anderson, in his official capacity, and his officers, agents, servants, employees and attorneys, and all those acting in concert with them, are permanently enjoined to accept for registration, assign a date of acceptance and index and preserve original marriage documents and original divorce reports for couples of the same sex on the same terms as for couples of different sexes under Wis. Stat. § 69.03(5);
- Defendant Oskar Anderson, in his official capacity, and his officers, agents, servants, employees and attorneys, and all those acting in concert with them, are permanently enjoined to prescribe, furnish and distribute, under Wis. Stat. § 69.03(8), forms required for marriages under Wis. Stat. ch. 69 and Wis. Stat. § 765.20 that permit couples of the same sex to marry on the same terms as couples of different sexes; and
- Defendant Scott Walker, in his official capacity, is permanently enjoined to direct all department heads, independent agency heads, or other executive officers appointed by

the Governor under Wis. Stat. ch. 15 and their officers, agents, servants, employees and attorneys, and all those acting in concert with them, to treat same-sex couples the same as different sex couples in the context of processing a marriage license or determining the rights, protections, obligations or benefits of marriage.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that defendants' motion to stay all relief in this case is GRANTED. The injunction and the declaration shall take effect after the conclusion of any appeals or after the expiration of the deadline for filing an appeal, whichever is later.

Approved as to form this /g day of June, 2014.

Parkara P. Crah District Judge

serve of the

Peter Oppeneer, Clerk of Court

6/19/14