## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

ULTRATEC, INC. and CAPTEL, INC.,
Plaintiffs,  SPECIAL VERDICT FORM – DAMAGES [OCTOBER 6 DRAFT] 14-cv-66-jdp v.
SORENSON COMMUNICATIONS, INC. and CAPTIONCALL, LLC,
Defendants.
We, the jury, for our special verdict, do find as follows:
QUESTION NO. 1: Do you find that the reasonable royalty should include a one-time lump sum payment?
ANSWER: ("yes" or "no")
IF "YES,"  What is the amount of the one-time lump sum payment?
\$

**QUESTION NO. 2:** Do you find that the reasonable royalty should include a perminute running royalty?

ANSWER:	("yes" or "no")
IF "YES,"	
What is the royalty rate per mir	nute?
cents	
What is the total number of inf	ringing minutes?
minut	ces
What is the total royalty payme	ent for defendants' infringement?
\$	
	Presiding Juror
son, Wisconsin	
3011, VI 13CO113111	