

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

Michael Morris  
Plaintiff  
v  
Tammy Dickman, et al  
Defendant.

Note the 10/5/17 Notice of Appeal was not meant to be filed it stated only file it 59(e) motion was not under consideration. He filed it anyways. Heres Supply and Dkt Statement.

DOCKETING STATEMENT, with objection.

Comes now: The Plaintiff, Michael Morris, proceeding, herein pro se, to appeal the Judgement of Western District Court on 9/27/17 granting the Defendants motion to dismiss, for Tammy Dickman & Diane Fremgen.

Jurisdiction

The Plaintiff/Appellant, Michael Morris, filed a complaint on 11-4-15, in the Western District of Wisconsin alleging violations of his First and Fourteenth amendment rights, Dict 1. The District Court had jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C §1331 and 1367. The District Court entered a final Judgement on 9/27/17 in Favor of the defendants by granting their Motion to dismiss. The order said Plaintiff failed to state a Claim in which relief can be granted, That the Defendant had qualified immunity under the 11th amendment and that I couldnt sue on issues outside of sentence and conditions of confinement.

On Oct 2, 2017 Plaintiff filed a motion to Alter the 9/27/17 Judgement - and that motion was denied on \_\_\_\_\_ claiming wrongfully I did not mean for it to be filed, As noted in document,

An appeal of the final order was filed on 10/5/17 This court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1229. Issues of this case

1. Plaintiff Motion clear stated a claim in which relief could be grant the court and defendant use the correct applicable law but applied them to the wrong set of facts. He should not have had to file an appeal to this court to ensure his First and Fourteenth amendment rights were protected.

- Note I dont know of the clerk has started. He sent me Fajisency form. I thought I was allowed to file 59 (e) motions before appeal.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated this 5 day of October 2017

Michael Morris  
Michael Morris  
WSPP P.O. Box 9900  
Boscobel, WI. 53805.

Please note: The Courts order was single sheet copy, its has never come in form before some took the original and gave me a copy hopefully truly correct. Please compare with another copy. Plus they were able to open it by hand which means it was heated up to open and Close. I hate to be making the wrong argument.