IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

JUNE E. ROUNDS-RHEAUME,

Plaintiff,

v.

ORDER

JOHN C. DOWLING, BRIAN D. VAUGHAN, SANDRA K. PRISBE, CYNDA DEMONTIGNY, BARB WOEHRL, and BOARD OF REGENTS OF UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN SYSTEM,

16-cv-146-jdp

Defendants.

Pro se plaintiff June E. Rounds-Rheaume is proceeding on Title VII retaliation and First Amendment retaliation claims. Rounds-Rheaume contends that after she quit her job, defendants prevented her from finding other employment by refusing to give prospective employers an accurate description of her position.

Rounds-Rheaume moves for summary judgment, Dkt. 36, but her submissions do not comply with the court's pretrial conference order. Dkt. 25. Most important, the pretrial conference order requires her to include all materials facts in proposed findings of fact. Dkt. 25, at 10-16. Plaintiff's "explanation of each numbered document submitted as factual evidence," Dkt. 38, appears to be an attempt at drafting her proposed findings of fact, but it does not comply with this court's requirements.

For each numbered paragraph, plaintiff must first state the factual proposition and then include a citation to the record. For example,

1. Plaintiff Smith bought six Holstein calves from Dell's Dairy Farm on July 11, 2006. Harold Smith Affidavit, Jan. 6, 2007, p.1, ¶ 3.

The first part is the factual proposition that Smith bought six calves (i.e., what happened).

The second part is the citation to an affidavit that supports the proposition. If she can

support the proposition with a document, she can cite to the document by including a docket

number (e.g., Dkt. 19-1 for the proposition that she sent a letter on March 3, 2015). In sum,

Rounds-Rheaume must explain what happened and support her version of facts with

evidence. The court will not dig through her documents and make the case for her.

I will deny plaintiff's motion for summary judgment without prejudice and give her an

opportunity to file a new motion along with new proposed findings of fact. Plaintiff may have

until March 31, 2017, which is the deadline for dispositive motions. Plaintiff should review

the examples of proposed findings of fact included in the pretrial conference order. Dkt. 25,

at 12-13. I will direct the clerk of court to send Rounds-Rheaume another copy of this court's

pretrial conference order, which details the requirements Rounds-Rheaume must follow.

Dkt. 25, at 10-16.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Plaintiff Rounds-Rheaume's motion for summary judgment, Dkt. 36, is DENIED

without prejudice.

2. The clerk of court is directed to send plaintiff a copy of the court's pretrial

conference order, Dkt. 25.

Entered January 17, 2017.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

JAMES D. PETERSON

District Judge

2