# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

## KARMIN BENITO DEARING,

v.

COLUMBIA CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION WARDEN SUE NOVAK, DEPUTY WARDEN LUKE WEBER, SECURITY DIRECTOR BRIAN GUSTKE, CAPTAIN KEVIN BOODRY, and CO BUYA JAMA, OPINION and ORDER

23-cv-112-wmc1

Defendants.

Plaintiff,

Pro se plaintiff Karmin Dearing previously was incarcerated at Columbia Correctional Institution. He contends that in 2020 Columbia officials allowed a prisoner to escape and then erroneously disclosed his name as the escapee, defaming him and subjecting him to harassment in prison. Because Dearing is proceeding in forma pauperis, I must screen his complaint and dismiss any portion that is legally frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or asks for money damages from a defendant who by law cannot be sued for money damages. 28 U.S.C. § 1915. When screening a pro se litigant's complaint, I construe the complaint generously, accepting the allegations as true and holding it to a less stringent standard than formal pleadings drafted by lawyers. *Arnett v. Webster*, 658 F.3d 742, 751 (7th Cir. 2011).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> I am exercising jurisdiction over these cases for purposes of screening only.

I will dismiss this case without prejudice on preclusion grounds. I will also deny Dearing's motion for summary judgment, Dkt. 10, as moot.

#### ANALYSIS

Dearing pursued this same lawsuit in this court in 2020, which was dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. *Dearing v. 15 News*, No. 20-cv-497-wmc, Dkt. 12 (W.D. Wis. filed May 29, 2020). The court determined that (1) Dearing's claims did not arise under federal law, and (2) it could not exercise diversity jurisdiction over Dearing's state-law claims because Dearing and all defendants were citizens of Wisconsin. *Id.* The only difference between Dearing's '497 case and this case is that this lawsuit does not include as defendants the editors-in-chief of two news outlets. The omission of those two defendants does not cure the diversity jurisdiction problem, and the court's prior conclusion as to subject matter jurisdiction "bar[s] the invocation of [this] court's subject-matter jurisdiction in a second lawsuit based on the same facts." *See Reed v. Columbia St. Mary's Hosp.*, 782 F.3d 331, 335 (7th Cir. 2015) (citations omitted). Therefore, I will dismiss this case without prejudice as precluded.

This is Dearing's second lawsuit against the same Columbia prison officials for the events related to the 2020 escape. I will summarily dismiss any future cases Dearing files against the same officials regarding those events.

## ORDER

# IT IS ORDERED that:

- The case is DISMISSED without prejudice as precluded by *Dearing v. 15 News*, No. 20-cv-497-wmc (W.D. Wis. filed May 29, 2020).
- 2. Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment, Dkt. 10, is DENIED as moot.
- 3. The clerk of court is directed to enter judgment and send plaintiff copies of this order and the judgment.

Entered April 28, 2023.

# BY THE COURT:

## /s/

JAMES D. PETERSON District Judge