## IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA FIFTH DISTRICT

1

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO FILE MOTION FOR REHEARING AND DISPOSITION THEREOF IF FILED

JAMES R. SHOEMAKER, D.O., ET AL.,

Appellant/Cross-Appellee,

۷.

Case No. 5D12-2485

SONIA SLIGER, ETC., ET AL.,

Appellee/Cross-Appellant.

Opinion filed May 30, 2014

Appeal from the Circuit Court for Orange County, John M. Kest, Judge.

Dinah S. Stein, and Jedidiah Vander Klok, of Hicks, Porter, Ebenfeld & Stein, P.A., Miami, and Jim Smith and Kevin Bledsoe, of Smith, Schoder & Bledsoe, LLP, Daytona Beach, and Mark Hicks, Jedidiah Vander Klok, and Dinah Stein, of Hicks, Porter, Ebenfeld & Stein, P.A., Miami, for Appellant/Cross-Appellant.

David J. Sales, of David J. Sales, P.A., Jupiter, and Stephen J. Knox, of Morgan and Morgan, P.A., Orlando for Appellee/Cross-Appellant.

PER CURIAM.

This court previously rendered a per curiam opinion affirming as to all issues presented in this appeal. Two of the issues raised in the cross-appeal filed by Sonia Sliger, as Personal Representative of the Estate of Stephen Sliger, were whether the statutory cap on wrongful death non-economic damages found in section 766.118, Florida Statutes (2007), is unconstitutional and whether the trial court erred in reducing the award of damages to the statutory cap required by that statute. When this court rendered its per curiam opinion, the Florida Supreme Court had not yet rendered its decision in <u>Estate of McCall v. United States</u>, 134 So. 3d 894 (Fla. 2014), wherein the court held that the statutory cap on wrongful death non-economic damages provided in section 766.118 is unconstitutional because it violates the Equal Protection Clause of the Florida Constitution.<sup>1</sup> After <u>McCall</u> was rendered, Sliger filed a motion to recall the mandate previously issued by this court. This court granted that motion so we could reconsider this particular issue in light of the decision in <u>McCall</u>.

Pursuant to <u>McCall</u>, we withdraw our previous opinion and substitute this opinion in its place, and we reverse that part of the judgment under review that reduces the award of wrongful death non-economic damages to Sliger pursuant to section 766.118. We remand this case to the trial court to enter an amended judgment in accordance with the jury verdict without any reduction under section 766.118. We affirm as to all other issues raised by the parties.

AFFIRMED in part; REVERSED in part; REMANDED.

TORPY, C.J., SAWAYA and COHEN, JJ., concur.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Art. I, § 2, Fla. Const.