

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA
FIFTH DISTRICT

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO
FILE MOTION FOR REHEARING AND
DISPOSITION THEREOF IF FILED

KENNETH G. STEEPROW,

Appellant,

v.

Case No. 5D15-3967

MICHAEL R. PENFOLD,

Appellee.

_____ /

Opinion filed May 13, 2016

Appeal from the Circuit Court
for Brevard County,
David Dugan, Judge.

Kenneth G. Steeprow, Cocoa, pro se.

Elizabeth C. Wheeler, of Elizabeth C.
Wheeler, P.A., Orlando, for Appellee.

PER CURIAM.

Kenneth G. Steeprow appeals a final judgment entered against him in his automobile negligence claim against Michael R. Penfold. The final judgment was entered after the trial court granted Mr. Penfold's motion for a directed verdict during a jury trial. Mr. Steeprow asserts that the trial court erred in granting the directed verdict and in making certain evidentiary rulings during the trial. We affirm.

As the appellant, Mr. Steeprow has the burden of demonstrating error. Because no transcript of the proceedings exists, our review is limited to the pleadings, judgment and other matters contained in the record. In the absence of an adequate transcript on appeal, a judgment, which is not fundamentally erroneous, must be affirmed. Applegate v. Barnett Bank of Tallahassee, 377 So. 2d 1150, 1152 (Fla. 1979). Mr. Steeprow also has not complied with Florida Rule of Appellate Procedure 9.200(b)(4), which governs the preparation of a record when no transcript of the proceedings is available. Because no reversible error has been demonstrated from the record provided to us, we affirm.

AFFIRMED.

ORFINGER, WALLIS and EDWARDS, JJ., concur.