

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA
FIFTH DISTRICT

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO
FILE MOTION FOR REHEARING AND
DISPOSITION THEREOF IF FILED

SIDNEY GRANT,

Appellant,

v.

Case No. 5D16-2393

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Appellee.

_____ /

Opinion filed December 22, 2016

3.850 Appeal from the Circuit Court
for Orange County,
Renee A. Roche, Judge.

Sidney Grant, Indiantown, pro se.

Pamela Jo Bondi, Attorney General,
Tallahassee, and Lori N. Hagan, Assistant
Attorney General, Daytona Beach, for
Appellee.

PER CURIAM.

Sidney Grant appeals the summary denial of his motion for postconviction relief filed pursuant to Florida Rule of Criminal Procedure 3.850. Grant raised nine grounds of ineffective assistance of trial counsel in his motion. However, in his brief, he claims only that Grounds One, Five, and Seven constitute error and seeks reversal on those grounds.

Therefore, the other grounds alleged in his motion are deemed abandoned. See Ward v. State, 19 So. 3d 1060, 1060 (Fla. 5th DCA 2009).

Grounds One, Five, and Seven allege that Grant's trial counsel was ineffective for failing to: 1) object when a detective vouched for the child victim's credibility in his testimony; 2) impeach the victim with previous inconsistent statements; and 3) object when the detective commented on Grant's invocation of his right to remain silent. Because these three grounds are not conclusively refuted by the record, we reverse that part of the order under review summarily denying them and remand for attachment of portions of the record that conclusively refute them or for an evidentiary hearing. See Pierce v. State, 137 So. 3d 578, 582 (Fla. 2d DCA 2014).

AFFIRMED in part; REVERSED in part; REMANDED.

SAWAYA, PALMER and ORFINGER, JJ., concur.