

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA
FIFTH DISTRICT

NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO
FILE MOTION FOR REHEARING AND
DISPOSITION THEREOF IF FILED

D.I.K., A CHILD,

Appellant,

v.

Case No. 5D19-1802

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Appellee.

_____ /

Opinion filed September 4, 2020

Appeal from the Circuit Court
for Osceola County,
Sally D.M. Kest, Judge.

James S. Purdy, Public Defender, and
M. Alexander Williams and Louis A. Rossi,
Assistant Public Defenders, Daytona
Beach, for Appellant.

Ashley Moody, Attorney General,
Tallahassee, and L. Charlene Matthews,
Assistant Attorney General, Daytona
Beach, for Appellee.

WALLIS, J.

Appellant appeals the trial court's order imposing investigative costs of \$1,490. We reverse and remand.

Following a bench trial, Appellant was adjudicated delinquent of sexual battery of a person over 12 but under 18 years of age, in violation of section 794.011, Florida

Statutes (2019). During the disposition hearing, the State requested and the court acknowledged that there was a cost of investigation in this case. Following the hearing, the trial court, pursuant to section 938.27(1), Florida Statutes (2019), entered an Order for Reimbursement of Investigation Costs without holding a hearing on the specific amount.

Glasco v. State, 292 So. 3d 556 (Fla. 5th DCA 2020) is controlling. In Glasco, we reversed and remanded the trial court's denial of a motion to strike investigative costs without the introduction of any evidence. Id. Therefore, we reverse the order on appeal and remand with instructions that the trial court strike the imposition of investigative costs.

REVERSED and REMANDED with Instructions.

EDWARDS and EISNAUGLE, JJ., concur.