

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA
FIFTH DISTRICT

BRUCE HOWARD,

Petitioner,

v.

Case No. 5D21-365

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Respondent.

_____ /

Opinion filed March 26, 2021

Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus,
A Case of Original Jurisdiction.

Bruce Howard, Florida City, pro se.

No Appearance for Respondent.

PER CURIAM.

Due to Petitioner's apparent abuse of the legal process by his abusive, repetitive, malicious, or frivolous pro se filings attacking his judgment and sentence in Volusia County Circuit Court Case No. 1984-3221-CFAES, this Court issued an order directing Petitioner to show cause why he should not be prohibited from future pro se filings. See *State v. Spencer*, 751 So. 2d 47, 48 (Fla. 1999). Having carefully considered the response and finding it

fails to show cause why sanctions should not be imposed, we conclude that Petitioner is abusing the judicial process and should be barred from further pro se filings.

Therefore, in order to conserve judicial resources, the Clerk of this Court is directed not to accept any further pro se filings concerning the above referenced case. Any future filings regarding the referenced case will be summarily rejected by the Clerk unless filed by a member in good standing of The Florida Bar. See *Isley v. State*, 652 So. 2d 409, 411 (Fla. 5th DCA 1995) (“Enough is enough.”). The Clerk is further directed to forward a certified copy of this opinion to the appropriate institution for consideration of disciplinary proceedings. See § 944.279(1), Fla. Stat. (2020); *Simpkins v. State*, 909 So. 2d 427, 428 (Fla. 5th DCA 2005).

FUTURE PRO SE FILINGS PROHIBITED.

LAMBERT, EISNAUGLE and TRAVER, JJ., concur.