## IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA FIFTH DISTRICT JULY TERM 2004

R.A., FATHER OF J.A. AND E.A., CHILDREN,

Appellant,

v. Case No. 5D03-2699

DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES,

Appellee.

Opinion filed August 6, 2004

Appeal from the Circuit Court for St. Johns County, John M. Alexander, Judge.

R. Mitchell Prugh of Middleton & Prugh, P.A., Melrose, for Appellant.

Jodi Seitlin of the Department of Children and Families, St. Augustine, for Appellee.

PER CURIAM.

R.A. (father) appeals the final order entered by the trial court terminating his parental rights to his twin children, J.A. and E.A., born on July 31, 2002. We affirm.

The trial court terminated the father's parental rights on the basis that the parent-child relationship threatens the life, safety, well-being, or health of the children, and on the basis of the father's egregious conduct.<sup>1</sup> The father challenges the court's ruling, arguing first that the trial court erred in terminating his parental rights based upon a finding of "egregious conduct" because the Department of Children and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See § 39.806(1)(c) & (f), Fla. Stat. (2001).

Families (DCF) never alleged egregious conduct as a basis for termination in any of the pleadings. DCF

properly responds by conceding error on this issue and, therefore, we strike the portions of the termination

order which cite to the issue of egregious conduct. The father also argues that the trial court erred in

terminating his parental rights on the basis that the parent-child relationship threatens the well-being of the

children. Our review of the record reveals that sufficient evidence was presented during the termination

hearing to support the trial court's decision that termination was warranted in this case. As such, the court's

ruling is affirmed.

Accordingly, the trial court's termination order is affirmed in all aspects, except that portion finding

clear and convincing evidence of egregious conduct pursuant to section 39.806(1)(f), Florida Statutes is

stricken, in that such conduct was not alleged in the petition for termination. See R.C. v. Dep't of Children

& Families, 867 So.2d 580 (Fla. 1st DCA 2004)(holding that portion of trial court's termination order

finding clear and convincing evidence of egregious must be stricken because such conduct was not alleged

in the petition for termination).

AFFIRMED, as modified.

PLEUS, PALMER and ORFINGER, JJ., concur.

2