## IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA FIFTH DISTRICT JULY TERM 2004

LISA ANN WILSON,

Appellant,

Case No. 5D03-2943

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Appellee.

\_\_\_\_\_

Opinion filed October 29, 2004

Appeal from the Circuit Court for Citrus County Richard A. Howard, Judge.

James B. Gibson, Public Defender, and Scott Ragan, Assistant Public Defender, Daytona Beach, for Appellant.

Charles J. Crist, Jr., Attorney General, Tallahassee, and Lamya A. Henry, Assistant Attorney General, Daytona Beach, for Appellee.

PLEUS, J.

Lisa Wilson appeals her convictions and sentences for 58 separate crimes<sup>1</sup> and argues that the lower court erred in denying her motion to suppress her confession. Because we conclude the denial of her motion was not dispositive, we dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These crimes include 30 counts of burglary of a dwelling, 13 counts of grand theft, 12 counts of petit theft, and one count each of burglary of a dwelling while armed, possession of methamphetamine and possession of paraphernalia.

After the lower court denied Wilson's motion to suppress, Wilson pled nolo contendre to the charges. Florida Rule of Appellate Procedure 9.140(b)(2)(A)(i) states that a defendant may not appeal from a guilty or nolo contendere plea except that the defendant "may expressly reserve the right to appeal a prior dispositive order of the lower tribunal, identifying with particularity the point of law being reserved." An order denying a motion to suppress a confession is not dispositive for purposes of this rule unless the parties so stipulate. *Brown v. State*, 376 So. 2d 382 (Fla. 1979); *Debiasio v. State*, 789 So. 2d 1061 (Fla. 4th DCA 2001). The prosecutor never signed the plea form, nor did he ever stipulate that the order was dispositive. Just the opposite. When the judge asked the prosecutor to stipulate, he would not do so. The conversation that ensued demonstrates that the prosecutor, judge and even the defense attorney all concluded that the order was not dispositive. Therefore, we lack jurisdiction to address the merits of Wilson's appeal.

APPEAL DISMISSED.

SAWAYA, C.J., and PETERSON, J., concur.