IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA FIFTH DISTRICT JANUARY TERM 2011

EDWARD W. MASLOW,

Appellant,

v. Case No. 5D10-655

JULIANNE M. EDWARDS AND DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE.

Appellee.

Opinion filed February 4, 2011

Appeal from the Circuit Court for Brevard County, Lisa Davidson, Judge.

Edward W. Maslow, Little Falls, pro se.

Pamela Jo Bondi, Attorney General, and William H. Branch, Assistant Attorney General, Tallahassee, for Appellee.

GRIFFIN, J.

Appellant, Edward Maslow ["Maslow"], *pro se*, appeals an order modifying his child support obligation and a second order establishing his child support arrearages, payable to Appellee, Julianne Edwards, the child's mother. We find no error and affirm as to all issues Maslow raises. However, there does appear to be an error in the mathematical calculation used to determine the amount of child support.

At trial, Maslow introduced into evidence documentation showing that he was receiving veteran's disability benefits of \$440 per month for himself and an additional monthly benefit of \$159 for the minor child who is the subject of the child support

proceeding. According to the Florida Department of Revenue, veteran's benefits for minor children are paid to the veteran directly.

At the close of the hearing, the trial court determined that Maslow's monthly disability income was \$440 per month. The trial court then calculated that Maslow was obligated to pay 21% of child support, and this figure was found to be \$157. The court then added this figure to the \$159 per month that Maslow received directly for the child's support to get a total of \$316. It appears, however, that the amount received for the benefit of the child should be accounted for differently. In *Wallace v. Dept. of Revenue ex rel. Cutter*, 774 So. 2d 804 (Fla. 2d DCA 2000), the court explained:

[W]hen a parent is receiving social security disability due to the disability and, as a result, his or her children receive independent benefits, the total benefits received by or on behalf of that parent are attributed to the disabled parent as income in the child support guideline calculation. The dependent benefits are then credited toward the disabled parent's obligation, that is, they are a payment of the obligation on behalf of the disabled parent. If the benefits are less than the support obligation, the disabled parent must pay the difference. If they are more, the benefits pay the obligation in full, but any excess inures to the benefit of the children.

Id. at 808.

Although this case involves disability benefits paid by the Veteran's Administration, rather than benefits paid by Social Security, there does not appear to be any reason to treat the two situations differently. The \$159 paid to Maslow for the benefit of his son should be included in Maslow's income and the total family income figure. Maslow is then entitled to receive credit for the \$159 benefit paid to his son. For

¹ The components of this figure are: \$94.92 for child support, plus \$63 for daycare.

illustration purposes only, the calculation appears to yield total family income of \$2,259 (\$440 + \$1660 + 159), and the guidelines appear to call for \$484 in total support for the minor child. Maslow's percentage of the total income figure is approximately 27%; thus his pro rata share of child support would be 27% of \$484, or \$130.68. His share of the daycare expense, using the 27%, is \$108, making his total child support obligation \$238.68, not \$316. Accordingly, we affirm in part and reverse in part and remand for recalculation of child support and arrearages in a manner consistent with this opinion.

AFFIRMED in part; REVERSED in PART; and REMANDED.

ORFINGER and LAWSON, JJ., concur.